

Faculty Handbook

UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

UPDATED: JANUARY 2025

Table of Contents

1

I. Introduction	5
II. Organization.....	7
A. Trustees	7
1. The Committees of the Board.....	7
B. Central Administration	7
1. President	7
[.....	7
2. Provost.....	8
3. Vice Presidents and Other Officers	8
[.....	8
C. Schools of the University	8
[8	
D. Graduate Studies.....	8
E. Faculty Senate.....	9
F. Faculty Governance	9
G. Examination of alleged violations of processes prescribed by the Faculty Handbook	10
H. Intercissors	11
III. University Policies	12
[.....	12
A. THIS SECTION IS UNDER REVIEW	12
B. Conflicting Personal Employment Relationships (Nepotism).....	12
C. Intimate Relationships	12
D. Management of Academic Relationships with Immediate Family Members	14
E. Copyright and Fair Use Policy.....	14
F. Disability Accommodation	14
G. Dissent and Public Order.....	15
1. Standards of Conduct	15
2. Procedures for Addressing Violations.....	15
H. Distinguished Visitors	16
I. Drugs.....	16
J. Flag Procedures	16
K. Political Activity.....	17
L. Religious Observance	17
M. Safety	17
N. Security.....	18
O. Smoking.....	18

P. Solicitation of Funds	18
Q. University Letterhead.....	19
R. Information Technology Resources and the University Web	19
S. Signature Authority.....	20
T. Faculty Role in Senior Academic Administrator Searches and Reviews for Reappointment.....	20
IV. Faculty Policies	22
A. Faculty Duties, Rank, and Tenure	22
1. Policy on Lengths of Appointments at Various Faculty Ranks	23
2. Process for Appointment and Reappointment.....	25
3. Process for Promotion	26
4. Appointment Papers	33
5. Appointment of Foreign Nationals.....	33
6. Periods of Appointment.....	34
7. Simultaneous Appointments at Other Institutions.....	34
8. Resignation	36
B. Special Faculty Titles	36
1. Visiting Faculty	36
2. Part-Time Faculty.....	36
3. Adjunct Faculty	37
4. Clinical and Other Special Faculty.....	37
5. Retired Faculty with Honorary Title	38
6. Other Nontenure Faculty Titles.....	38
C. Faculty Grievance Procedures	38
1. Tenure and Appointment Grievances.....	40
2. General Grievance Procedure.....	43
D. Procedures for Revocation of Tenure and Contract Abrogation.....	47
E. Leaves	51
1. Principles Applicable to All Leaves.....	51
2. Leaves of One Week or Less.....	51
3. Leaves of More Than One Week but Less Than One Month.....	52
4. Leaves of More Than One Month	52
5. Junior Faculty Leaves.....	53
6. Personal Leaves	54
7. Part-Time Leaves.....	54
8. Bridging Fellowships.....	54
F. Policies on External Activities Relating to Research and Scholarship (Consulting and Conflict of Interest) and Other Conflicts of Interest.....	55
G. Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer.....	59
H. Policy on Misconduct in Scholarship and Research	59

1. Initial Reporting and Inquiry	60
2. Investigation	60
3. Further Action	61
I. Confidentiality of Faculty Records	61
J. Research.....	62
K. Retirement	63
L. Early Retirement and the Faculty Senior Associates Program	63
1. Eligibility	63
2. Initial Payment.....	64
3. Annual Changes in Payment	64
4. Social Security Benefit	64
5. Payment Process and Taxability of Payment	64
6. Termination	64
7. Benefits.....	64
8. Changes to Program	65
V. Student-Related Policies	65
A. Academic Honesty	65
B. Confidentiality of Student Files.....	65
VI. Faculty Benefits	67
A. Health Care Plans	67
B. Dental Assistance Plan	67
C. Short-Term Disability.....	67
D. Long-Term Disability for Full-Time Faculty	67
E. Workers' Compensation	67
F. Drugs and Alcohol	68
G. Smoking.....	68
H. Employee Assistance Program.....	68
I. Family Care.....	68
J. Family Medical Leave for Faculty	68
K. Faculty Parental Leave Policy	68
L. Unpaid Personal Leaves of Absence	70
M. Group Life Insurance	71
N. Travel-Accident Insurance Plan	71
O. Tuition Benefits for Full-Time Faculty	71
P. Retirement Program/Tax-Deferred Investment Options.....	71
Q. Unemployment Insurance.....	72
R. Flexible Spending Accounts.....	72
S. Vacation	72

VII. Cumulative Summary of Changes..... 73

I. Introduction

[updated May 2017]

This handbook sets forth policies, procedures, and information that, by common consent, are those governing the University faculty as a whole.

Either the Office of the Provost, or the Senate Executive Committee, may initiate revisions to this handbook. Following a proposal to revise the handbook, the Provost and the Senate Executive Committee must agree on the proper Senate committee to consider the proposal. After consideration of the proposal, that committee reports its findings to the Provost and Senate Executive Committee. The proposed handbook changes are forwarded as well to the academic units of the University. The authorized governance bodies in each of the academic units will report to the Senate Executive Committee the results of any deliberations on the proposed changes. The Senate Executive Committee reports to the Senate and the Provost about the impact of the proposed changes. After completion of these steps, the Faculty Senate will vote on the proposed changes and communicate the result and the accompanying report to the Board of Trustees. The Board of Trustees will consider the Senate's report and any recommendation from the Provost, for their final action.

The Senate Executive Committee in consultation with the full Senate may approve changes that are trivial, non-substantive, or required by changes in applicable law, without use of the process described above. Board of Trustees approval is unnecessary for changes to the handbook that are trivial, non-substantive, or required by applicable law.

As text is amended, an email announcement will be sent to all faculty via the faculty listserv. Amended sections will be dated, and a cumulative summary of all changes will be included at the end of the handbook.

This handbook is not intended to replace or supersede faculty rules and regulations of the several schools and colleges or the applicable personnel policies set forth in the University's Human Resources Policies which can be found at <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies>. In the event of a conflict or inconsistency, the University's personnel policies and procedures will govern. In some circumstances (signaled by a phrase such as "schools may adopt"), the policies set forth in this handbook enable or allow colleges and schools to adopt certain policies at their discretion. In such cases, the policies and procedures of the school or college determine how the policies will be implemented.

The University's Policy on Policy-Making requires that certain policies referenced in this Manual which impact faculty in a manner distinct from or more significantly than others will be discussed before adoption with the Faculty Senate Executive Committee and, if the Executive Committee deems it appropriate, with the entire Faculty Senate.

See: <http://internal.rochester.edu/policy/documents/PolicyonPolicies.pdf>.

Although this handbook delineates many of the rules that govern the faculty of the University, underlying these rules are the fundamental convictions that shape the life of the modern university— above all, an abiding commitment to the free inquiry essential to the creation and dissemination of knowledge. As John Dewey, the first president of the American Association of University Professors said at the turn of the twentieth century, "Any attack, or even any restriction, upon academic freedom is directed against the

university itself. To investigate truth; critically to verify fact; to reach conclusions by means of the best methods at command, untrammelled by external fear or favor, to communicate this truth to students; to interpret to them its bearing on the questions they will have to face in life—this is precisely the aim and object of the university. To aim a blow at any one of these operations is to deal a vital wound to the university itself." This University is committed in word and deed to the protection of unfettered inquiry and the academic freedom of its faculty. In turn, while each faculty member has the freedom to determine how to make signal contributions to their field, each is expected to adhere to the ethical standards and evidentiary criteria for that field, and to discharge responsibly their obligations to the University as a teacher, scholar, and citizen.

The policy content of this handbook was approved by the Board of Trustees on November 14, 2024.

II. Organization

A. Trustees

[updated May 2014]

The original New York State provisional charter was granted in January 1850 to the Trustees of the University of Rochester by the Board of Regents for and on behalf of the State Education Department. The charter commits “the superintendence of the interests of this institution” to the Board of Trustees. Those interests include educational activities, appointments, physical properties, endowment, financial matters, public relations, and the granting of degrees.

The Board of Trustees is empowered to determine its number, from time to time. The University bylaws govern the number, election and other terms relating to the members of the Board of Trustees.

There are three regular meetings of the Board each year: in the fall, in the winter, and in the week preceding Commencement. Special meetings may also be called at any time by the president or the chair of the Board or by the most senior trustee upon the written request of any three Board members.

Trustees of the University serve without remuneration.

1. The Committees of the Board

[updated May 2014]

The Executive Committee consists of the president of the University, the chair of the Board, the chairs of the Board’s standing committees, and such other trustees as the Board may elect from time to time. In the intervals between Board meetings, the Executive Committee has general charge of the affairs of the University and may exercise all the powers of the Board in all matters, except that this power does not extend to the granting of degrees, removals from office, the election of trustees, or the amendment, alteration, or repeal of the bylaws.

The Board has standing committees on audit, facilities, investment, personnel, health affairs, academic affairs, external affairs, student affairs, financial planning, and nominations/board practices. A select committee on compliance and compensation reviews the compensation of officers and matters that might involve a trustee or other senior official in an actual or potential conflict of interest.

B. Central Administration

1. President

[updated July 1999]

The president, as chief executive officer of the University, has overall responsibility for its supervision and management. All officers of the University are accountable to the president, who is chair of the faculties of all the schools. The president is appointed by the trustees and is accountable to them. The responsibilities of the office are described in more detail in the University bylaws.

2. Provost

[updated July 1999]

The provost, the second University officer, reports to the president and serves as the president's deputy when necessary. As the chief academic officer, the provost's primary concern is with the nature and organization of the academic affairs of the University, working closely with the deans.

3. Vice Presidents and Other Officers

[updated May 2014]

The Board of Trustees shall approve the appointment of the Vice Presidents and such other officers of the University as the Board of Trustees shall determine from time to time.

For organizational charts that show the reporting structure of the administrative and academic operations of the University see <http://www.rochester.edu/aboutus/admin.pdf> and <http://www.rochester.edu/aboutus/programs.pdf>.

C. Schools of the University

[updated January 1, 2025]

The University consists of seven schools: the School of Arts and Sciences; the Hajim School of Engineering and Applied Sciences; the Eastman School of Music; the School of Medicine and Dentistry; the School of Nursing; the Margaret Warner Graduate School of Education and Human Development; and the William E. Simon Graduate School of Business Administration. Deans lead the schools and their affiliated faculty. Most schools are organized in departments where much of the important work of the University is accomplished.

The University also houses several specialized research centers. Please refer to <http://www.rochester.edu/research/> for a comprehensive listing of those centers.

D. Graduate Studies

[updated July 1999]

The primary responsibility for graduate studies at the University of Rochester is with the faculties of the several schools, all of which offer work leading to the Ph.D. as well as in some cases (education, medicine, and music) work toward professional degrees. Work toward degrees at the master's level and professional doctoral degrees (e.g., Ed.D., M.D., D.N.P. and D.M.A.) is supervised by the faculties of the relevant schools. Responsibility for the quality and the procedure of work for the Ph.D. degree is vested in the University dean of graduate studies. Each of the departments offering graduate work has a director for graduate studies, who oversees graduate work within the department; each school has an associate dean for graduate studies with whom these directors work.

Overall policy for graduate studies is determined by the University Council on Graduate Studies, a group made up of the deans of the schools, the associate deans for graduate studies, and of individual faculty representatives from each of the departments offering work leading to the Ph.D. degree. Its chair is the University dean of graduate studies.

E. Faculty Senate

[updated July 2002]

"There shall be established . . . a University-wide Faculty Senate . . . to consider the state of the University . . . to make recommendations for its academic development . . . to inquire into any matter . . . that has implications for the academic function and welfare of the University and to make recommendations concerning such matters . . . ; and to be a channel of communications between and among the various faculties and between the collective faculties and the president and the provost of the University." The above is drawn from Article 1, Establishment of a University Senate, of the charter and bylaws of the University of Rochester Faculty Senate. The Senate consists of 45 members elected by and from the faculties. Senators are elected, for three-year terms, from among the full-time members of the faculties of the University, and each holds the rank of professor, associate professor, or assistant professor. Prior to the annual election, candidates may be nominated by any group of three qualified members of the electorate (all full-time members of the faculties and all part-time members of the faculties with the rank of professor or associate professor, or equivalent rank). Election is by a preferential system. The only stipulation regarding membership across schools is that each school shall be entitled to at least one senator. The president, the provost, and the University dean of graduate studies are ex officio members of the Senate. (Full and official statements on these matters may be found in the charter and bylaws of the University of Rochester Faculty Senate.)

The Senate ordinarily meets nine times during the academic year. Meetings are from 4 to 6 p.m. by Charter regulation and on a middle Tuesday of the month by custom. Each meeting is presided over by the chair of the Executive Committee or a designated substitute. The agendas for these meetings are prepared by the Executive Committee of the Senate and distributed (along with relevant documents) to Senate membership at least one week prior to each meeting. Topics of discussion include reports from the President on the state of the University in September and January, a report from the Provost on the state of the faculty in May, occasional reports from deans on the states of the various schools, reports from the standing and ad hoc senate committees, and discussion of the University budget.

The standing committees of the Senate are the Executive Committee (the Senate's Agenda Committee), the Elections Committee, and the committees on academic affairs, research policy, faculty benefits, and budget.

A faculty committee elected concurrently with the Senate is the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. Its role in tenure revocation is described in the section on tenure (subsection "revocation"), and it also is charged to "inform the Senate of all regulations and practices respecting tenure and privileges, in all parts of the University, as they become established or changed."

The chief function of the Senate has been to act as a forum where matters of importance to the University community can be discussed by members of all of its faculties. So that this function may have its broadest impact, full minutes of Senate meetings are distributed to all members of the electorate.

In accordance with the charter provision that all proceedings or recommendations of the Senate shall be confidential within the University, those minutes are labeled confidential.

F. Faculty Governance

[updated October 2021]

Faculty participation in governance promotes diversity of ideas, shared responsibility, collaboration, collegiality, and institutional excellence. Furthermore, it is the right of all faculty members to participate in governance without fear of retaliation in subsequent decisions on promotion, salary, and conditions of employment. It is the responsibility of the central administration, deans and department chairs to protect these rights.

Every academic unit (including departments) should have a set of written rules and procedures for its governance, with copies available to each faculty member. The governing faculty of each academic unit should establish the operating procedures of its academic unit governance entities including, but not limited to, procedures of agenda setting, establishment of a quorum, determination of membership and voting rights, qualification of attendance by persons other than members, appointment of a faculty secretary, distribution of minutes, and the retention/filing of minutes. An academic unit's policies on voting rights should consider the principle that all faculty eligible to vote in elections for Senate should have a voice in shared governance, and exceptions to this principle should be explicitly written into rules, e.g., the definition of "appropriate faculty members" in IV.A.3 "Processes for Promotion" of the Faculty Handbook.

G. Examination of alleged violations of processes prescribed by the Faculty Handbook

[updated May 2020]

The administration or the faculty may become concerned that the rules of the Faculty Handbook describing shared governance processes have been violated. By either the decision of the President and Provost, or by majority vote of the Senate Executive Committee, the following process will be followed to examine serious incidents. A committee of four individuals, none of whom is directly involved in the incident and none of whom holds line authority over any of the others, will be formed and charged with writing a report about the incident. The President and Provost will choose two committee members from among the senior administration, at the rank of Associate Dean or higher, and the Senate Executive Committee will choose two committee members from among the faculty who are eligible to be elected to the Faculty Senate. The committee will be charged to deliver a timely report describing factual findings of the possible violation of rules, comments on the severity of any violation, and recommendations for future action. Each of the findings, comments, and recommendations in the report need not be endorsed by all members of the committee; accordingly, members of the committee will be charged to explicitly endorse each element of the report they support. The committee, by the affirmative vote of two members, may offer confidentiality to individuals it interviews in the preparation of its report.

The committee will distribute its report to the President and to members of the Faculty Senate and its Executive Committee. The Senate Executive Committee and the President may, within a three week period, provide a written response to the report. The committee report, and any responses will then be distributed by the Faculty Senate chair(s) to the Faculty, and by the President to members of the senior administration. The report and responses will be reviewed by the President and the Senate Executive Committee for each to determine what, if any, additional steps are appropriate. The report, responses, and a joint statement of any proposed courses of action by each will normally be transmitted to the chair of the Board of Trustees, for distribution to the Trustees of the University, unless both the President and the Senate Executive Committee agree that the issue need not be brought to the Trustees.

Individual academic units of the University may adopt similar procedures to examine violations of their processes.

H. Intercessors

[updated May 2014]

In addition to the procedures that exist for handling most problems through regular school, departmental, or administrative channels, the Intercessors' Office is available to help resolve difficult problems. This office is particularly interested in identifying patterns of problems that indicate a need to change organizational structures or procedures. Helping individuals is an important way to identify areas that may need general improvement. The intercessors are trained counselors who understand the importance of confidentiality and who will normally honor requests to take no further action. If the intercessor determines, however, that there may be some threat of harm or a pattern of discriminatory or harassing behavior, they may have an independent obligation on behalf of the University to take corrective action.

The Intercessors' Office works with all the speed and confidentiality that particular circumstances allow. Intercessors act as facilitators in attempting to bring conflicts to resolution, using their impartiality and accessibility to give weight to their negotiating role and recommendations.

III. University Policies

[updated May 2014]

The University's *Human Resource Policies and Procedures* includes policies that apply to faculty. Please see <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies> for the content of those policies.

A. THIS SECTION IS UNDER REVIEW

[updated May 2025]

This section, which discusses the application of Policy 102, the Non-Discrimination in Employment Policy <https://www.rochester.edu/policies/policy/non-discrimination-in-employment-policy/>, is currently under review by the Office of the Provost and the Faculty Senate. For more information, please contact Kevin McFarland, Vice Provost for Faculty Affairs.

B. Conflicting Personal Employment Relationships (Nepotism)

[updated May 2014]

In general, there are only minimal limitations of employment or continued employment of any person because of a conflicting relationship with another member of the University faculty or staff. Employment is limited only when necessary to avoid conflicts of interest and provide reasonable assurance that each person can carry out the responsibilities of their position as objectively as possible. The University's policy on Conflicting Employment Relationships can be found at www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/121.pdf. Its Policy Against Harassment and Discrimination can be found at <https://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/106.pdf>.

C. Intimate Relationships

[updated January 1, 2025]

Freedom of thought and expression and the opportunity for all members of the University community to pursue knowledge unencumbered are the foundation of the academic enterprise. The development of intimate relationships may, in some cases, compromise the academic relationships that are fundamental to the intellectual and professional development of members of the University. The faculty member - student academic relationship is of special concern in this context given the innate power imbalance between faculty and students; however, such power imbalances also may exist among faculty members. The purpose of this policy is to protect the rights and interests of all members of the University community by avoiding the potential for real or perceived coercion, favoritism, bias, or exploitation that may be created by intimate relationships among members of the University community.

To provide clarity regarding the intentions and purposes of this policy, the following definitions are employed.

- **Student** refers to all full-time, part-time, visiting, or prospective undergraduate or graduate students, and all postgraduate trainees, research associates, residents, and fellows.
- For the purposes of this policy **Faculty** refers to tenure-eligible, instructional, adjunct, research, and clinical faculty members of all ranks. (Other individuals (e.g.: students, post-doctoral fellows and other trainees) who exercise academic authority over students should see their respective handbooks for related policies.)

- **Intimate relationships** refer to non-familial sexual, dating, and/or romantic relationships. Note: unwanted advances or other inappropriate behaviors may be considered sexual harassment, which falls under HR Policy 106.
- **The exercise of academic authority** includes the following activities (on or off campus): teaching courses, (i.e., having primary or shared responsibility for the conduct of a course but not, for example, simply delivering occasional guest lectures); grading or otherwise evaluating student work; advising on formal projects such as a thesis or other research; serving as an external examiner or member of a thesis committee; participating in decisions regarding student funding or resource allocation; performing clinical supervision; and making recommendations or otherwise influencing decisions regarding admissions, employment, tenure and promotions, or the awarding of grants, fellowships, or other recognitions. Generally, it is assumed that faculty exercise academic authority over all students in their department or program. However, there are circumstances and academic structures within the University where the assumption of academic authority may not be fitting, for example, in cases of some secondary faculty appointments or informal program affiliations, or in the distributed departments and programs in the School of Medicine and Dentistry. In such cases, it is left to the department chair and cognizant dean to define appropriate domains of academic authority; however, if there is uncertainty on the part of the student or faculty member academic authority should be assumed or clarification about the existence of academic authority should be sought from / determined by the department chair and cognizant dean.

Any questions regarding the above definitions, as well as other aspects of this policy, should be directed to the University Intercessor or the appropriate department chair or dean.

The Policy

III. C. i. Faculty are prohibited from entering into intimate relationships with undergraduate students of the University.

III. C. ii. Faculty are prohibited from entering into intimate relationships with any member of the University community over whom they exercise academic authority as defined above.

III. C. iii. Faculty are prohibited from accepting academic authority (as defined above) over any member of the University community with whom they currently share an intimate relationship, or with whom they have shared such a relationship in the past.

Violations

Violations of this policy will result in disciplinary actions, which can include, but are not limited to, written warnings, loss of privileges, mandatory training or counseling, probation, suspension, demotion (including revocation of tenure), expulsion, and termination of employment. Disciplinary actions will be enforced at the appropriate administrative level ranging from department chair to the Office of the Provost.

Exceptions

In cases in which a mutually consensual relationship develops, exceptions to this policy may be granted, but must be managed carefully by agreement of both parties in the relationship, the chair(s)/dean(s) of the faculty member and other party, and the University Office of Counsel. A written management plan outlining measures to insure the integrity of the academic interaction and to protect the interests of all parties must be filed with the cognizant dean and reviewed annually. The University Intercessor is available for guidance in the creation of such a plan.

D. Management of Academic Relationships with Immediate Family Members

[added May 2018]

Close familial relationships may exist between faculty members and other members of the University, for example when a faculty member's child, person over whom the faculty member has legal guardianship, spouse, or committed partner joins the University as a student or member of the faculty. In any such case in which the faculty member may exercise academic authority over the other party, unless there is an existing policy at the program level, a plan must be developed in consultation with the cognizant department chair(s) detailing how any conflicts of interest will be managed. The plan must be approved by the affected party, the faculty member, and the cognizant chair(s) and dean(s).

Related policies include: <https://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/121.pdf> and <https://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/106.pdf>. *Also refer to other handbooks as developed (graduate handbook, post-doctoral handbook, undergraduate, etc.).*

E. Copyright and Fair Use Policy

[updated July 1999]

The University of Rochester acknowledges and encourages the appropriate use (i.e., reproduction, distribution, performance, and display) of copyrighted works and materials for teaching, scholarship, and research purposes consistent with federal copyright law and the standards for fair use. Given both the importance of complying with federal copyright law and the difficulty of determining fair use, the University's copyright and fair use policy provides guidance on the use of the work of others as well as links to copyright and fair use resources. (Please refer to <http://www.lib.rochester.edu/copyright/> .)

F. Disability Accommodation

[updated May 2014]

The University of Rochester is committed to providing equal educational and employment opportunities for qualified individuals with disabilities, in accordance with state and federal laws and regulations, including the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Further, the University is committed to applying the strengths of its resources, talents, and ingenuity to maintain an inclusive environment for individuals with disabilities. The University's affirmative action policy for individuals with disabilities, including disabled veterans, is included in Policy 102, which can be found at <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/102.pdf>.

G. Dissent and Public Order

[updated July 1999]

1. Standards of Conduct

In compliance with the provisions of the law of the State of New York, the following principles, regulations, and procedures for the maintenance of public order upon University campuses or other University property are adopted by the Board of Trustees of the University of Rochester.

No member of the University community or guest shall engage in the following behavior upon University premises:

1. Obstruction or disruption of teaching or other University activities.
2. Prevention of free movement, such as pedestrian or vehicular.
3. Possession or use of firearms; explosives; dangerous, destructive, or noxious chemicals; or any dangerous or apparently dangerous weapons, other than as allowed by law and University regulation.
4. Detention, physical abuse, or conduct that threatens bodily harm or endangers the health of any person.
5. Intentional damage to or theft of University property or the property of any person when such property is located on the premises of the University.
6. Entry into living quarters, private offices, or working area of another person, without express or implied permission of that person or an authorized University official; or invasion of the privacy of records, data, or communications belonging to individuals, to the University, or to others.

2. Procedures for Addressing Violations

It is the right of any member of the University community to bring appropriate charges if it is felt that their rights or these preceding standards have been violated.

If a violation of the preceding standards of conduct threatens to disrupt some activity on the University campus or other University property, the person in charge of the activity should attempt to address those persons creating or threatening to create the disturbance. The primary aim should be to re-establish appropriate conditions so that the activity may proceed. If they are able to do this reasonably quickly, disciplinary charges may not be necessary.

If the individual in charge of the activity cannot re-establish order, they should, as far as practicable, (1) request the disruptive party(ies) to desist, and (2) state the perceived violation of the standards. It is then the obligation of the parties concerned to supply their names.

Any person who fails to comply with the above obligation may be removed and barred from the University activity. If removing the person(s) from the activity in question is not sufficient to remove a clear and present danger, the appropriate University official may eject and bar the person(s) from the University campus and property.

Failure to comply with a request to desist does not in itself constitute a breach of University regulations. Ensuing University judicial proceedings will determine whether a violation in fact had occurred, and the guilt or innocence of the parties charged. No action or omission of the person in charge of the activity shall in any way limit the responsibility of an individual for their own actions of disruption or creation of disorder.

In a university, even more than in the community at large, it is appropriate that force, which is available only from outside the university, be used as little as possible in preventing disruption and disorder. Correspondingly, in a university, impediments to freedom of speech and movement and to rationality are intolerable—even those that in the community at large would be minor. Proceedings under civil law are not a bar to University judicial proceedings.

In the event that any visitor to University premises violates any of these regulations, an appropriate University official shall ask them to leave the University premises. If the visitor refuses to leave University premises promptly, the University shall, if necessary, seek the aid of and cooperate with civil authorities.

Civil charges may be filed by an appropriate University official for any misconduct, disruption, or disorder on the campus, whether by visitors or members of the University.

H. Distinguished Visitors

[updated July 1999]

Most of our invited speakers are distinguished in their fields. A few have a degree of national or international importance—which makes it a discourtesy if they are not welcomed to the University by the president or their designate; examples are senators, members of Congress, cabinet members, ambassadors, heads of state, presidents of colleges and universities, and scholars of extraordinary distinction. We ask that the inviters of such dignitaries contact the Office of the President when such visits are contemplated, and we ask those who schedule rooms for such persons to inform the Office.

I. Drugs

[updated May 2014]

The University's policy on the use of alcohol and other drugs can be found at www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/171.pdf and its policy on drug testing can be found at www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/151.pdf.

J. Flag Procedures

[updated July 1999]

The University flag and the American flag are flown daily, except in inclement weather. The American flag is lowered to half-staff in accordance with protocols endorsed by Congress. The University flag is also flown at half-staff whenever the American flag is so displayed. Pursuant to protocols established and administered by the Office of the University Secretary, the University flag is also flown at half-staff at other appropriate times of collective bereavement, such as on the occasion of a funeral or memorial service for a student or a member or former member of the faculty, senior administration, or Board of Trustees. Requests for a lowering of the University flag should be made to the appropriate campus administrator:

Eastman School of Music

Office of the Director

Laboratory for Laser Energetics
Medical Center

Memorial Art Gallery
River Campus

Office of the Director
Office of the Senior Vice President and Vice Provost for
Health Affairs
Office of the Director
Office of the Chaplain

Requests for a lowering of the University flag on all campuses and questions regarding flag policies and procedures should be directed to the office of the University secretary.

K. Political Activity

[updated May 2014]

Recognition of its own purposes under the Charter and compliance with federal and state laws for not-for-profit corporations require that the University remain non-partisan and independent in politics. Individual members of the University community, of course, have the right to participate in the political process so long as they do not speak or act in the name of the University. More detailed statement on the rights and obligations of faculty and staff on this subject can be found at

www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/112.pdf (on political activity) and

www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/109.pdf (on Solicitation, Canvassing and Leafleting Activity).

L. Religious Observance

[updated May 2014]

The University must comply with New York State Education Law Section 224-a, which includes, among other things, that students who choose not to register for classes, attend classes, or take exams on certain days because of their religious beliefs will be given an equivalent opportunity to register for classes or to make up the work requirements or exams they miss, without penalties or additional fees. The University maintains a policy consistent with New York law, regarding Religious Observance by students in connection with academic matters which can be found at

<http://www.rochester.edu/registrar/policies.html#holidays>.

M. Safety

[updated May 2014]

It is the policy of the University of Rochester to provide an environment in which recognized hazards that could cause injury or illness to faculty, staff, students, patients, or visitors are controlled and monitored, and to protect its facilities from risk of damage from unsafe acts or conditions. The safety and well-being of all persons on University property or engaged in University activities are of primary concern. Each member of the University community, including each member of the faculty, is expected to share this concern and to participate in University efforts to encourage safety and control risk in all activities. It is each person's responsibility to be alert to actual or potential hazards and to take appropriate steps to control them.

The Office of University Risk Management and Environmental Safety provides expertise, assistance, and coordination for the University's programs in industrial hygiene (workplace safety), fire safety, pest control, and food sanitation. The office also provides training classes to help departments educate their people in these areas as well as to help them remain compliant with governmental regulations.

Research laboratories present particular concerns for safety. Faculty engaged in laboratory instruction or research are obligated to assure compliance with applicable safety protocols and regulations in their labs. The Office of Radiation Safety in the Medical Center governs the safe use of radioactive materials throughout the University. The Hazardous Waste Management Unit in the Office of University Facilities and Services handles the proper disposal of hazardous materials. Faculty should consult with the safety-related offices regarding the appropriate procedures to follow.

See the University's Policy on Safety or Personal Protective Equipment which can be found at <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/158.pdf>.

N. Security

[updated May 2014]

University Public Safety ("Security") has the primary duty to respond in all medical, fire, and criminal emergencies at the University. Security staff is available to help 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. In an emergency, use any University phone to dial x13, or pick up any direct line blue light emergency phone. For non-emergencies, dial x5-3333.

Security acts to prevent criminal activity as well as to respond to it through such measures as prevention education, preventive patrols, and escorts. Members of the University community are encouraged to remain aware of their surroundings, to observe self-protective measures, and to report any potential threats to the community's well-being. Please refer to the latest edition of the publication titled *Think Safe* for additional information concerning services provided. It may be obtained by calling x5-3437.

Security serves as a liaison with public law enforcement agencies. Cooperation between those outside agencies and the University community promotes awareness of the needs of one's neighbors and fosters a sense of common purpose and protection for all. Unlawful acts or evidence thereof may be reported to the appropriate law enforcement agencies.

O. Smoking

[updated May 2014]

In light of the clear health hazards associated with tobacco smoke and as an institution committed to promoting the best possible health care for all, the University of Rochester has adopted a Smoke Free Institution Policy that can be found at:

<https://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/170.pdf>.

P. Solicitation of Funds

[updated May 2014]

Faculty can play a significant role in soliciting gifts to support the University from individuals, corporations, and foundations. The Advancement staff can assist faculty in identifying potential donors, preparing proposals, and meeting with existing and prospective donors.

Advancement maintains a database, central file, and clearance system for the University. Before discussing a project or soliciting funds from an individual, corporation, or foundation, faculty members should contact the appropriate Advancement (development) office as well as their dean or director to learn

the status of the prospective donor in order to avoid multiple or conflicting interactions that may be confusing for the prospect.

When a faculty member receives a commitment or an actual gift from a donor, the Advancement Office should be contacted. There are templates for different types of gifts that are helpful and should be used if possible. A gift transmittal form can be obtained from the Advancement Office. Using the transmittal form will assure that a gift or pledge is properly recorded and that funds are deposited to the appropriate account. If gifts are not properly recorded, the University's system of acknowledging gifts and providing required receipts for tax purposes does not function. The result could be that the donor is not properly thanked, and the deduction is jeopardized.

Q. University Letterhead

[updated July 1999]

When an individual or group uses University letterhead, or employs the phrase "University of Rochester" in a specially invented letterhead, there is the implication that the communication has the sanction of the University. Such letterheads are sometimes used inappropriately in letters or other documents to pursue a personal goal or for social or political purposes that are not the direct responsibility of the University. In these cases, such a letterhead should not be used, however worthy the cause, and in spite of the fact that University members may be part or all of the membership of a group.

Examples of appropriate use of letterhead would be a professor of chemistry writing to a professional society or to a colleague in another university about professional matters, or an official of the Glee Club writing about arrangements for a proposed tour of the club. Examples of inappropriate use would be a group of faculty writing to the mayor of Rochester protesting the city's tax proposals, or a group of students urging the president of the United States to seek the release of a political prisoner. The subject of the communication must be the authorized business of a formal component of the University (such as a department or school, or a student group sanctioned by the University). Otherwise, the group or individual should seek authorization in writing from the president or provost to use the University's name. (In the Medical Center, individuals should seek permission from the senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs.) Sponsorship, for instance, by a department or group in the University, of some cause that is not a specified part of the professional responsibilities of that department or group does not constitute such authorization, nor can anyone except the president or provost grant such authorization.

If there is any doubt about the appropriate use of University letterhead, the provost or the president should be consulted.

R. Information Technology Resources and the University Web

[updated May 2014]

Use of University information technology, including, but not limited to, computers, handheld devices, University (including school and departmental) web pages, email systems and addresses, wireless connectivity, and research computing servers, is governed by the Information Technology Policy.

Access to University information is generally broadly consistent with the concept of academic freedom and the open nature of the institution. There are types of information where access must be restricted, and caution in handling and storing the information is necessary. See the IT Policy for the rules to protect legally restricted information such as Social Security Numbers, Patient Protected Health Information

(HIPAA), student records (FERPA), financial accounts, credit and debit card information, and employee personnel records. The Policy also contains rules about how to protect information that is sensitive or proprietary. The IT Policy addresses the level of privacy given to personal use and information on University information technology devices and systems.

For a list of, and links to, Information Technology policies including the University Policy on IT, Acceptable Use, Email Use, Records Retention, File-Sharing and other policies see <http://www.rochester.edu/it/policy/index.html>.

S. Signature Authority

[added May 2014]

Faculty and employees may sign contracts in the name of the University only if they have authority to sign as set forth in the University Policy on Contracts, Signatures, and Financial Authority. A contract is defined broadly and includes any agreement or arrangement between the University and an outside entity or individual. It does not apply to internal memoranda of understanding or similar agreements that govern internal relationships between schools, divisions, or departments. Current delegations of signature authority can be found on the Office of Counsel website: <https://www.rochester.edu/counsel/>.

T. Faculty Role in Senior Academic Administrator Searches and Reviews for Reappointment

[added May 2017]

Faculty input into the hiring and review for reappointment of senior academic administrators is essential for creating an environment of openness and inclusiveness at the university.

When a search for a new university president or provost is being conducted, a national search is typically undertaken. When the dean of a school leaves office, it is desirable to conduct a national search for a successor. Faculty members should be participants in a search committee for these senior administrators.

Faculty members should have a role in soliciting input from faculty members for the review for reappointment of senior administrators. Faculty committees will be created for the purpose of compiling input on the reviews for reappointment and communicating this input to the administration by a specified date. In the event that the committee does not communicate input to the administration by a date agreed upon with the committee, the Faculty Senate Executive Committee, and the administration, the review will proceed without that input. The administration may also solicit faculty input directly.

The selection of faculty members for search and reappointment review committees should be the result of collaboration between the administration and the relevant faculty governing body, either the Faculty Senate or, for decanal searches and reviews, the faculty council or similar body of a school.

Expedited Hires and Reappointments

At times, events may call for an expedited hiring or reappointment process for senior academic administrators. Such cases should be carefully justified and should not be the norm. If an expedited hire or reappointment is sought, the administrator charged with the hiring should contact the Faculty Senate chair(s) as soon as possible to provide an explanation of why an expedited process is justified. The Senate chair(s) will be free to discuss the situation with the Senate Executive Committee and to provide feedback

to the initiating administrator. Ideally, the administrator will leave ample time to receive feedback from the Senate chair(s).

In the case of an expedited reappointment, the review process normally will begin after the reappointment in order to provide the feedback that is the primary product of such reviews.

IV. Faculty Policies

A. Faculty Duties, Rank, and Tenure

[updated January 1, 2025]

Membership in the faculties of the University carries with it both rights and responsibilities. To be effective as a scholar and teacher, the faculty member must be free to pursue knowledge and to teach what they believe to be true. The faculty member who is granted this freedom should conduct research and teaching with integrity and competence. The University should cultivate academic endeavors of quality and provide the climate of freedom on which they depend.

1. The faculties of the University shall be responsible for conducting instruction and research.
2. Titles normally used for the faculty will be professor, associate professor, assistant professor, and instructor.
3. Subject to approval by the Board of Trustees, additional titles may be created.
4. Appointments to the faculty will be full time or part time.
5. Unlimited tenure (hereinafter referred to as "tenure") will be until retirement and may normally be given only to professors and associate professors.
6. Full-time appointments will be with or without tenure.
7. Part-time appointments will be without tenure and may be made at any rank.
8. Appointments for tenure-eligible faculty without tenure will be for a predetermined and fixed number of years and may be given at any rank. Renewable appointments of non-tenure-eligible faculty on term contracts should be reviewed periodically so that appointments without tenure are not continued unreasonably.
9. Tenure resides in a department within a school, or in the school as a whole for schools without departments.
10. Schools of the University are responsible for the resources that support the faculty. This, however, does not remove the possibility that tenure may be revoked and term contracts abrogated if a department within the school is closed. (See "[Procedures for Revocation of Tenure.](#)")
11. Tenure may be revoked and term contracts may be abrogated by the Board of Trustees only for cause, academic cause, or bona fide financial exigency of the University (including as described in Item 10). Cause shall be restricted to physical or mental incompetence or moral conduct unbecoming the position. Academic cause shall be defined as the failure by a member of the faculty to discharge responsibly their fundamental obligations as a teacher, colleague, and member of the wider community of scholars.
12. Should there be suspicion of cause or academic cause for revocation of a faculty member's tenure, the faculty member is guaranteed a full and fair hearing by their peers, following the procedures established by the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. Prior to a decision, all charges, testimony, and recommendations will be available in writing to the accused, the president of the University, and the trustees.
13. It is the responsibility of the faculty of each school of the University to prepare, for its own guidance, detailed regulations consistent with these principles. Such regulations, and any subsequent changes in them, must be approved and recommended to the president by the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges.

1. Policy on Lengths of Appointments at Various Faculty Ranks

[updated January 1, 2025]

Faculty Appointments

In adopting these rules governing the terms of faculty appointments, the trustees fully recognize the role that tenure plays in protecting academic freedom. The maximum periods they prescribe for non-tenured appointments are fully consistent with that role; in addition, it is in the interest of the University that maximum periods for non-tenured appointments be uniform among the various schools. Such uniformity will facilitate joint appointments, as well as simplify the administration of appointments and promotions. Finally, uniformity will provide current and potential members of the faculty with a single clear statement of University policy. Within such prescribed limits, however, these rules provide substantial flexibility for individual departments and schools and for individual appointments.

Lengths of service described below apply to full-time tenure-eligible faculty and are maximal and not mandatory. An individual may be recommended for promotion and tenure at any time that the chair and the dean are persuaded that it is in the University's interest to do so. There is an exception to these rules in the School of Nursing and the School of Medicine and Dentistry for those whose responsibilities include patient care or other professional service. Details are provided in the regulations of those schools.

Instructors

The first appointment as instructor shall normally be for one year, although appointment may be for two years. Reappointments, if made, are for one year or two years. Instructors will not normally be continued beyond three years without promotion. Further reappointments, if made, may not extend the total period of service beyond five years, not including time on leave. After five years, an instructor will be either promoted or not reappointed to the faculty.

Assistant Professor

Appointments at the rank of assistant professor are for periods not exceeding four years. The maximum term of service at the rank of assistant professor may not exceed seven years, not including time on personal leave or added time from authorized postponements in promotion reviews. Typically, a review for promotion or tenure is initiated at the end of the fifth year in rank, with notification of the decision being made by the end of the sixth year (see "[Notification of Reappointment or Termination](#)"). Time on academic leave will count toward a faculty member's time in rank. A request in writing to the dean/director is required from a faculty member who wants to postpone promotion or tenure review due to a non-academic leave. Junior Leaves and Bridging Fellowships, alone or in combination with another academic leave, do not delay promotion or tenure decisions.

Associate Professor

Appointments at the rank of associate professor may be with or without tenure. Appointments at the rank of associate professor without tenure are for periods not exceeding five years. The maximum term of service at the rank of associate professor without tenure may not exceed five years, not including time on personal leave or added time from authorized postponements in tenure reviews. The maximum combined service at the ranks of assistant professor and associate professor without tenure may not exceed 11 years, not including time on personal leave or added time from authorized postponements in tenure reviews. Junior Leaves and Bridging Fellowships, alone or in combination with another academic leave, do not

delay tenure decisions. When a tenure-eligible faculty member is promoted, any years remaining on the previous appointment do not add to the duration of the new appointment. A faculty member may be promoted from associate professor without tenure to associate professor with tenure. Typically, a review for tenure is initiated by the end of the third year in rank, with notification of the decision being made by the end of the fourth year. (This assumes a five-year appointment; for shorter appointments, see "[Notification of Reappointment or Termination](#).") Exceptions to these regulations exist in the School of Medicine and Dentistry.

Professor

There is no fixed time prior to consideration for appointment to professor, but a period of six years after tenure is awarded is common. Appointments as professor carry tenure, except that the appointment of a professor from outside the University may be for a fixed period. Service at the rank of professor without tenure may not normally exceed five years, including time on academic leave.

Joint and Programmatic Appointments

Joint (secondary) and programmatic appointments with unlimited term may be made only when an individual first joins the University, or when needed to retain a valued faculty member who has been or anticipates being offered a similar position at another university. Secondary appointments do not carry tenure unless specifically agreed to by both departments in advance.

The most desirable period for a joint appointment is three years, a time selected not only to limit the paperwork involved with annual reviews, but also to give sufficient opportunity to sever gracefully an association that has not been mutually useful. Appointments for longer fixed terms may be made when circumstances warrant them.

Effective Date of Reappointment, Promotion, or Unlimited Tenure

Reappointment, promotion, or granting of tenure is effective at either the expiration of the current appointment or the beginning of the next academic year following the decision, whichever comes first. Maximum terms of service specified above may be extended to bring an individual's appointment into phase with the normal academic year calendar. Notice of reappointment, promotion, or granting of tenure does not constitute a de facto change prior to the beginning of the next academic year. In particular, in the event of action to revoke an appointment, the procedures, rights, and obligations pertaining to the existing appointment would apply, not those that would pertain to a reappointment, promotion, or indefinite tenure not yet in effect. When a tenure-eligible faculty member is promoted, any years remaining on the previous appointment do not add to the duration of the new appointment.

Notification of Reappointment or Termination

An instructor, assistant professor, associate professor, or professor on an appointment of two years or less must be notified in writing, five months before the appointment expires, whether or not they are to be reappointed. An assistant professor, associate professor, or professor without tenure whose total appointments at these ranks exceed two years must be notified in writing, not later than one year before the appointment expires, whether or not they are to be reappointed. Failure to receive notification does not entitle a faculty member to reappointment, promotion, or tenure. If they have not been notified, the dean or director of the school should be informed, and a prompt response will be provided. (If a prompt response is not obtained, the faculty member should consult with the provost or the president.) If the

response is to inform the individual of termination, then the termination shall not be sooner than five months from the sending of the response in the case of individuals on appointments of two years or less, or one year in the case of individuals whose total appointments at these ranks exceed two years.

2. Process for Appointment and Reappointment

[updated July 2002, unless otherwise noted]

Appointment to Instructor and Assistant Professor

These appointments are made by the dean of each school acting on the recommendation of the appropriate chair, if there is one. Prior approval by the provost is not required so long as the appointment is within previously agreed academic and financial plans.

Reappointment as Assistant Professor

The first review customarily occurs in the year prior to the end of an assistant professor's term appointment. As in cases of promotion to tenure described below, teaching, scholarship, and service are assessed but usually only by internal colleagues. At this stage in a career, promise of distinction rather than achievement is expected. The department's and school's review processes are inspected by the provost to be sure all interested parties have been consulted.

Appointments from Outside the University

[updated May 2020]

Appointments made from outside the University to ranks that do not carry tenure do not require review by a committee. Approval of the provost and president and, depending on the length of the appointment, the Board of Trustees is required for ranks higher than assistant professor. (In the School of Medicine and Dentistry and in the School of Nursing, approval of the senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs is also required.)

Appointments made from outside the University to tenured positions require review by an ad hoc committee in precisely the same way as promotions within the University, whether initiated before the appointment begins or after a period of service as professor without tenure (associate or full) without tenure. If the position is not advertised as including the possibility of tenure upon appointment, the same process and supporting information is required as promotions within the university, to include teaching evaluations and external reviewer letters.

Schools may offer the option of tenure upon initial appointment, which may be granted at the rank of associate or full professor for candidates who are identified and vetted through a faculty search approved as either: (1) an open-rank search where senior candidates whose achievements would make it appropriate to award them with tenure are identified, or (2) a senior level search conducted with the intent to hire a faculty member at the associate or full professor rank with tenure.

Only individuals already tenured at comparable institutions of higher learning or with commensurate credentials would be eligible for appointment with tenure.

Applicants who apply to a senior level search for a position eligible for initial appointment with tenure will be required to submit materials documenting such credentials upon application.

Applicants who apply to an open-rank search and are identified as senior level candidates during the review process will be asked to submit those materials upon invitation from the search committee. To expedite the process of tenure, no outside letters of review or evaluation will be sought beyond the letters of reference submitted by the candidate as part of the application process. The faculty recommendation for an initial appointment to either associate or full professor rank with tenure must come from the same “appropriate faculty members” specified in the Processes for Promotion in this handbook.

Schools that wish to be able to offer the option of tenure upon initial appointment will establish, in advance of a position being posted and in consultation with the provost, an internal process by which candidates are vetted for tenure to be recommended to the Board of Trustees, after review by the university-level ad hoc tenure review committee. These internal processes will be subject to the same periodic review required by the Faculty Handbook as all standard promotion processes.

Joint Appointments

When a joint (secondary) or programmatic appointment is made, a letter from the chair or dean should become a part of the record. It should indicate precisely the conditions of the appointment, and particularly if there is an expectation of teaching or committee work. It is not expected that the letter will spell out details, such as course and committee assignments in any one year, since the letter should be valid for the entire period of the appointment. It should indicate the role of the individual in department meetings and in appointments or promotions within the department. When an appointment spans more than one school, an additional letter signed by all relevant deans should delineate the nature of the salary and research support, the distribution of any externally funded research support, the duration of the agreement, an understanding of how teaching and other responsibilities of the faculty member will be assigned, and how replacement of the faculty member’s effort in the home department (if relevant) will be accomplished.

Purely courtesy appointments, with no duties of any kind, are undesirable because they imply to students and others that the professor is part of the department when such is not the case. They will not be approved unless extraordinary reasons can be provided.

Under these guidelines, the joint appointee will be providing a service to the department, and it is expected that the individual will be treated as a faculty member within the school of the department in which they have a joint appointment, and will be accorded the usual courtesies, including invitations to faculty meetings and faculty celebrations. Each school may decide whether such joint appointees should have a vote at faculty meetings, but it is recommended that they should. These specifics should be spelled out in the materials supporting the appointment.

Multiple joint appointments are generally undesirable because they dilute the significance of such appointments for all individuals, and if they are multiple, it may be difficult for the individual to be of service to such numerous departments.

3. Process for Promotion

[updated July 1999, unless otherwise noted]

Promotion and Tenure Process Reviews

[updated November 2022]

It is the responsibility of the faculty of each school of the University to prepare, for its own guidance in the promotion process, detailed regulations consistent with the principles in this Faculty Handbook. Such regulations, and any subsequent changes in them, must be approved and recommended to the president and provost by the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. To ensure that these regulations continue to reflect the interests and needs of the stakeholders in promotion decisions, the promotion regulations and practices of each school will be reviewed regularly and changed as needed. Such a review should be conducted at least every seven years, or within the first seven years that this policy is in effect, but may also be initiated more frequently at the request of the Dean(s) or the faculty of the school.

A committee will be convened to review the promotion regulations and practices, to gather input from the faculty to identify concerns, and to write a report to the faculty of the school as a whole, which may include recommendations for changes. For the purpose of selecting committee members for this review, the faculty of the school will be represented by the faculty governance body of each school or by faculty chosen by a voting process among the faculty of the school as a whole if no such body exists. These faculty representatives and the Dean(s) of the school will collaborate to select a committee which is representative of faculty of different ranks and promotion eligibilities, includes representatives from among chairs and program heads in the school, includes representatives from school promotion committees where such committees exist, and includes the Dean(s) or their designated representatives. The committee will meet with the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges and representatives of the Provost twice in the process: the first time when the committee has been selected and has determined its process, and the second time when it has written and circulated its report. The purpose of the first meeting is for the committee to discuss process with the external stakeholders, and the purpose of the second is to discuss their recommendations and the subsequent steps.

Criteria for Promotion

In professional schools, specific criteria may differ. In such cases, the criteria of the professional school govern.

Promotion to Tenure

[updated February 2021]

The key promotion is to tenure; subsequent sections will relate other promotions to this one. The principal factors considered are teaching, scholarly or artistic work, and service to the department, school, University, profession, and community.

The first threshold that must be crossed on the path to tenure is excellence in teaching. Each school seeks its own approach to the difficult task of evaluating teaching, with the one proviso that the evaluation be formal rather than merely anecdotal. Teaching that takes place outside the University or otherwise engages the classroom with the community will be considered, again with the proviso that it be formally evaluated. It is very much in the interests of the untenured professor to assure that some form of documented demonstration of teaching excellence becomes part of the record.

The second threshold is scholarship or artistic work. To achieve tenure, a faculty member is expected to have made signal scholarly contributions to their chosen field; in artistic areas, they are expected to have produced creative work of distinction. Since scholars and artists work in communities marked by widely shared standards of individual achievement, the judgments about the work of a scholar or artist by those who have themselves met those standards is a critical part of the case for tenure. In most academic fields, there is an appropriate literature that is recognized as the medium of exchange, and intellectual distinction

derives from contributions (in books or journals) to that literature. For tenure, published contributions to this literature are necessary, along with the testimony of expert referees that these contributions are of exceptional quality. It is virtually always required that these contributions have appeared in print or be in press. For tenure, it is not enough that intellectual distinction is promised; it has to be achieved. The expectation that the faculty member continues to play a leadership role in the future is also an important factor in achieving tenure.

Inclusion of community partnership in the design and governance of research is valued in scholarship. Published scholarship in community-engaged research could be enhanced by evidence of substantial collaboration and co-production with the community partner, including dissemination of work to audiences outside of academe.

Published scholarship is, in subjects like music, replaced by performance; in studio art it is replaced by the creation of works of art. The case for tenure then rests on a documented demonstration that the candidate has made a contribution of such importance that it is nationally recognized.

Passage of these two thresholds is a necessary but not sufficient basis for promotion to tenure. If the thresholds are passed, then one considers the overall excellence of the candidate, which may be heavily based on outstanding research, or heavily on fine teaching, or (most preferred) on great achievement in both of these areas.

Service is the third area of consideration; it is difficult to define the appropriate level. Unless an individual has demonstrated willingness to work for the department, it is not likely that colleagues will be prepared to recommend tenure. Similarly, the ad hoc committee of faculty will hardly wish to recommend that the University retain indefinitely somebody who has such a preoccupation with teaching and research that the many other responsibilities of faculty members are neglected. Service to the community related to a faculty member's academic scholarship should be positively weighed at the time of promotion, just as service to the department, school, University, or profession would be.

The above comments have dealt with past performance and its documentation. But, of course, a central concern in tenure is with future expectations, and the primary use of the record of the past is to answer affirmatively the question "Is this individual likely to be an important teacher, scholar (or artist), and contributor to the University's work for the many years ahead?"

Once a department has recommended tenure, the other necessary step in the process is evaluation by an ad hoc faculty committee, whose responsibility is to weigh the numerous factors in an individual's case and provide a recommendation on whether the interests of the University are best served by the promotion.

Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure

This rank can be used as an early promotion for particularly promising cases or as an initial appointment of candidates who have experience beyond the level of an assistant professor. In some cases, fields, or schools, the material to support tenure develops at a pace such that the criteria for tenure previously stated are not met within the five-year period preceding the initiation of the review process. In such cases and when the expectations are good that the criteria will be met within a few years, not more than five, promotion to associate professor without tenure is appropriate.

Promotion to Full Professor

This promotion may be awarded when a tenured individual has continued to grow in intellectual leadership and in teaching excellence, and has in this way become a senior authority in the country, a valuable teacher, and an important contributor to the school or University or both. Associate Professors with tenure should be offered regular reviews for promotion to Full Professor. Written feedback should be provided at the conclusion of each review. Each school is responsible for developing policies regarding the nature and frequency of evaluation of Associate Professors.

Documentation in Promotion

The following does not apply to the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the School of Nursing. Please refer to the handbooks of those schools. Individual units of the University may require more detailed information. The guidelines provided by the dean or director should be followed.

A much-reduced version of the following information for a tenure case is appropriate for promotion to full professor; none is required for the promotion from instructor to assistant professor. A completed summary sheet of the contents must accompany each promotion package.

In the following numbered items, the phrase "appropriate faculty members" refers to faculty members in the candidate's division, department, or school at rank and tenure equal to or greater than the proposed promotion. A school may adopt more restrictive definitions of "appropriate faculty members." In such cases, the school's definition should be followed.

1. Initiation of the process leading to promotion rests with the chair of the department (or, in smaller schools, with the dean). If a faculty member believes that the department chair (or dean) has not put forward a recommendation for reappointment or promotion at an appropriate time or for the appropriate type of promotion, the faculty member may appeal to the dean (or provost, if the dean is the initial recommender).
2. There should be a statement from the candidate about their plans for the future and a current curriculum vita. The statement should cover all areas, including scholarship and teaching.
3. Before seeking outside letters, the chair (or, in smaller schools, the dean) will have discussed with the appropriate faculty members whether the chances for promotion are good. If the decision at that point is negative, there will usually be no outside letters requested. It is important that the chair communicate a negative decision quickly.
4. When promotion is sought, letters from outside reviewers should be obtained, and should be studied by appropriate faculty members. Usually, a dozen outside letters are ample; fewer letters may be acceptable in some fields. At least three of the letters should be from persons whom the chair affirms in a covering letter are not closely connected personally with the candidate, e.g., as a close colleague, co-author, personal friend, or Ph.D. supervisor.
5. The documentation should include a copy of the letter that the chair writes to the outside reviewers seeking an opinion.
6. Brief biographical material should be provided for each of the outside referents. A copy of the entry in the appropriate professional biographical book (e.g., *American Men and Women of Science*) is usually adequate. This material is helpful in judging the standing of the referent, and in indicating institutional affiliations that may overlap with the candidate.
7. The chair must seek referents in addition to those suggested by the candidate, and must provide in the covering letter a statement about which names the chair added. This requires that the chair not ask the candidate to give a total list of all available opinions. Instead, the candidate should be asked

- to provide the names of (for instance) five or six referents, so that these can readily be supplemented by the chair.
8. All appropriate faculty members shall discuss and vote upon the promotion. In addition, a committee of appropriate faculty members may be formed to make recommendations regarding the promotion. This committee is representative of the appropriate faculty in the candidate's department, division, or school. The appropriate faculty members shall have access to all documentation solicited on the candidate's behalf, except letters written by the appropriate faculty to the chair, dean, or director. (See Item 9 below.)
 9. Each appropriate faculty member is expected to give to the chair, division head, or dean their written opinions and judgments regarding the candidate's promotion. In the case of a representative promotions committee, a report signed by all committee members may substitute for a letter from each of those committee members. These notices become a part of the case for promotion to be used by the dean and the provost. At the end of the procedure, there will be only one set of originals retained in the central University files.
 10. It is assumed that the case forwarded from the department reflects the opinions of the faculty appropriate to consider the promotion, that these opinions have been frankly shared in discussion, and that any range of views is reflected in a complete set of individual faculty letters that are forwarded with the case by the department.
 11. Specific attention should be paid to the excellence of teaching as well as scholarly or performance excellence. For internal candidates, formal course evaluation information should be included. When the candidate comes from another setting, comparable information should be assembled as available.
 12. The number of copies required differs by the type of promotion. Since promotion to associate professor without tenure relies on standing committees of up to seven members, seven sets of the readily reproducible material (curriculum vitae, letters, etc.) are needed and as many sets as possible, up to seven, of less easily reproducible material, such as books and recordings. For all promotions to tenure, four copies are needed. For promotion from tenured associate professor to professor, one complete set of material is necessary.
 13. Ad hoc committees are very sensitive to the quality of the institutions of the individuals from whom opinions are sought. Sometimes there may be good reasons why few individuals from prestigious institutions are included, for instance if the subject at hand is not well studied at major institutions. If such is the case, the chair should comment explicitly upon this in the covering letter. In all cases, the chair should go to some length to assure that the roster of referents is an excellent one.
 14. Chairs are urged to include in their letters of inquiry to referents a request that individual comparisons be made. This is a fairly common but not yet universal practice, and it is extremely helpful to compare the various letters to see whether they reflect a common view of the standing of the candidate. An appropriate example is: "It would be particularly helpful if you would compare X with other named individuals of comparable standing in the field."
 15. When a faculty member who holds a joint appointment or affiliation in another department or unit of the University is being considered for reappointment, promotion, or tenure, the chair of the home department should officially solicit input from the head of the secondary unit. That input should be part of the case forwarded to the dean or director.
 16. All promotion-related documentation, unless otherwise specified, is assumed to be obtained with the understanding that it is confidential.

Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure

The following applies to all except the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the School of Nursing; please refer to the handbooks of those schools. In schools having departments (or their equivalent) with chairs, the process is initiated by the chair, who gathers appropriate material for consideration by tenured members of the department. The material includes a detailed description of the research, teaching, and service activities of the candidate, and letters of evaluation from scholars outside the University and (where appropriate) from inside it. The chair reports to the dean the opinion of the faculty and makes their own recommendation. (In the Margaret Warner Graduate School of Education and Human Development and the William E. Simon Graduate School of Business Administration, the dean seeks the opinion of the tenured faculty directly, but in other ways the procedure is identical.) If the dean believes the promotion is warranted, they forward the material, with a covering letter, to the provost, who puts the matter before the appropriate promotions committee.

There are three such committees: arts and humanities, social sciences, and physical and biological sciences. Each is chaired by the provost and has up to six additional faculty members serving five-year staggered terms. Members are appointed by the provost in consultation with the president from a list of names recommended by the deans based upon recommendations made by department chairs. The composition of the committees is published annually in *Currents*.

The promotion committee makes its recommendations to the president and provost, who review the recommendation and subsequently make their own recommendation (when positive) to the Board of Trustees, whose decision is final.

Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure

The procedure is the same as is described in "[Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure](#)" up to the point at which the dean proposes to make a positive recommendation. The dean will suggest to the provost the names of individuals who might be asked to serve on an ad hoc committee to review the matter. None of the members is from the home department, and one is usually from a different unit of the University. The committee provides a written recommendation to the president and provost, who study this response and subsequently pass their own recommendation (when positive) to the Board of Trustees for final action.

Promotion to Full Professor

The procedure for promotion of internal candidates to full professor is the same as is described in "[Promotion to Associate Professor without Tenure](#)" up to the point at which the dean proposes to make a positive recommendation. The recommendation is then reviewed by the president and provost and positive recommendations are passed to the Board of Trustees for final action.

For appointments with tenure from outside the University, the procedure is as described in "[Promotion to Associate Professor with Tenure](#)."

Reappointment and Promotion for Faculty Members on Part-Time Appointment

Documentation to substantiate a recommendation for reappointment or promotion of a person on a part-time appointment should be comparable to a person on a full-time appointment. The material should move from the department to the dean or director to the provost, who will decide if advice from a committee is necessary.

Promotion of Faculty Under Investigation

[added May 2019]

A promotion case will not be sent to the Board of Trustees if the candidate is under investigation by the University for a serious violation of its policies or rules. “Serious violations” are those in which the most severe penalty for a first offense would involve significant reductions in responsibilities, compensation, or access to other University resources. Examples include, but are not limited to, violations of HR Policy 106 on harassment; research misconduct, such as fabrication of data or intentional plagiarism; academic misconduct, such as accepting something of value in return for an improved grade; financial misconduct, such as embezzlement of funds; or clinical misconduct, such as a deliberate failure to protect patient confidentiality. However, to afford the faculty member due process, if an investigation for a serious violation is concurrent with the process of a promotion case, the promotion case will continue to be evaluated, independent of the investigation or its results, until the moment when the Provost would normally recommend the promotion to the Board of Trustees.

When an investigation, including any appeal, has been concluded, the Provost will consider information that arose in the course of the investigation in determining whether to advance a tenure or promotion case if it is relevant to the evaluation of teaching, research or service. The Provost will then decide whether to forward the case to the Board. If the decision is negative, the Provost will explain that decision in writing to the promotion candidate and to the candidate’s Chair and Dean. Whether the case may be forwarded at a later date or reinitiated, and, if so, under what conditions, will be decided by the Provost in consultation with the candidate, the Dean, and the candidate’s primary department. If the candidate disagrees with the Provost’s decision, they may grieve rejection of this promotion as a “procedural irregularity,” under the process in the “Tenure and Appointment Grievances” section of this Handbook, to have assessed whether the Provost’s decision is commensurate with the findings of the investigation(s). Adjustments to the candidate’s contract and tenure clock will be made if necessary to allow this process to conclude.

The Provost will provide an annual report to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges (UCTP) of all cases handled under this policy. These reports will be anonymized with only the dates of investigation(s), a list of the dates of Board meetings at which the promotion could have been approved but was instead held by the Provost, and a summary of the Provost’s decision. The UCTP will review this information only for the purpose of evaluating the use of this policy and will use it only as a basis for discussion of any concerns about the frequency or outcomes of such cases with the Faculty Senate.

Postponement of Promotion or Tenure Review

[updated January 1, 2025]

A full-time tenure-eligible faculty member who adds a new child to the family will automatically be given a one-year postponement of the promotion or tenure review next following the child’s birth or adoption. In all cases, the faculty member must be employed as a full-time tenure-eligible faculty member of the University of Rochester at the time of the birth or adoption, and living in the same household as the child.

If the tenure-eligible faculty member again adds a new child to the family while employed by the University of Rochester, they will automatically be given another one-year postponement for a maximum of two one-year postponements (unless the department chair and dean agree to additional extensions). The faculty member’s review will take place one year (or two years if the faculty member receives a second one-year postponement) after the time the review would have taken place in the absence of the postponement.

In all cases where the contract duration is intentionally synchronized with the tenure decision, then extending the tenure clock automatically extends the contract as well.

This policy applies to reviews for promotion or tenure only. Extension for other reviews will be determined by the dean and department chair after a discussion with the faculty member.

In order to facilitate the automatic extension(s), the faculty member should notify the department chair or dean as soon as possible.

If a full-time tenure-eligible faculty member does not wish the automatic postponement(s) under this policy, they should notify the department chair or dean of the intent to waive the postponement as far in advance as possible under the circumstances.

A faculty member may also request a postponement of promotion or tenure review by one year if health or personal problems impose severe burdens on their progress. Requests will be considered only within one year of the occurrence of the special circumstances. The request is made through the department chair to the dean and to the provost for decision. (In the School of Medicine and Dentistry and in the School of Nursing, the request is made through the department chair to the dean and to the Senior Vice President for Health Sciences and CEO of the Medical Center for decision.) Normally no more than one one-year postponement will be granted for health or personal problems.

Reconsideration / Review of Promotion Decisions

[updated May 2018]

If the review committee did not have before it, through no fault of the candidate or their sponsors, some information of substantial importance at the time the committee was developing its recommendations, the provost or senior vice president for health sciences may ask for reconsideration of the case by the same committee, whether it be a standing committee or an ad hoc committee.

Generally, there is no appeal on substantive ground of the decision of the president, the provost or senior vice president for health sciences. If a faculty member believes that there were procedural irregularities, or that there was infringement upon the academic freedom of the individual in the consideration of their case, they may initiate an appeal. It is the responsibility of the complainant to demonstrate the way the adverse decision that is the subject of the complaint was taken in response to an action protected by the principle of academic freedom. The appeal will follow the same procedures as a “[Tenure and Appointment Grievance](#)” under “[Faculty Grievance Procedures](#)” in this Handbook. On the basis of the reports produced by the Faculty Grievance Committee (defined below) and the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges, the president and provost may elect to modify their prior decision.

4. Appointment Papers

[updated July 1999]

All newly hired faculty members are required to provide verification of authorization to work. Documents that verify eligibility include a U.S. passport, a certificate of U.S. citizenship, or a certificate of naturalization.

5. Appointment of Foreign Nationals

[updated May 2014]

The International Services Office manages the immigration work for anyone holding an academic appointment with the University. The International Services Office must obtain work authorization from the Immigration and Naturalization Service for each foreign national *before* they can begin any appointment with the University. Typically, it takes three to four months to obtain work authorization. Hiring departments must contact the Office of Immigration Services at 275-3314 *before an offer is made* to a foreign national. The work-authorized, non-immigrant classifications most often used at the University are H-1B (non-immigrant, temporary visitor in a specialty occupation), J-1 (nonimmigrant and exchange worker), and TN (Canadian citizen with authorization to work for a specific employer).

6. Periods of Appointment

[updated July 1999]

The academic year is typically for nine months, or September 1 through May 31, or September 15 through June 15, except in the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the Laboratory for Laser Energetics, where appointments are made for 12 months. Appointments are normally effective on July 1, and salaries are commonly paid in 12 equal monthly installments on the last day of each month. In any given year, a faculty member is therefore being paid an advance during the summer months for activities in the coming academic year.

Because a faculty member is paid only for work in the academic year, they are free to arrange with outside agencies to be paid for activities during the three summer months. Normally, when external funds are involved, a maximum of 2.5 months of support is funded at a rate of not more than 1/9 of the academic-year salary per month of summer support. The appropriate academic-year salary is that which starts in July of the summer that is worked. The rate should include the University's retirement contribution.

7. Simultaneous Appointments at Other Institutions

[updated January 1, 2025]

The main purpose of this policy is to ensure transparency around external appointments so that conflicts of interest or commitment can be identified and managed. Reporting of such conflicts of interest or commitment are required by federal agencies in certain situations, such as when a PI is receiving federal funds for research.

It is often appropriate that a faculty member of the University of Rochester hold simultaneously an appointment¹ at another institution² (herein, an "external appointment"). Examples would be during a summer period (for those on nine-month appointments) or an academic leave. It is quite common for faculty to visit other institutions at such times, and entirely fitting if (for instance) a "visiting

¹ An "appointment" implies a formal association with another institution that typically involves a formal title and a defined duration of ongoing commitment. A couple of examples may illustrate the point. If a faculty member serves on an external advisory board for a department or school at another academic institution, this would not be considered an "appointment" because the involvement does not involve an academic appointment or an ongoing involvement. On the other hand, appointment as an adjunct or secondary faculty member would be considered an external appointment. Similarly, service as a consultant to a company would not fall under this policy, but taking on a role as Chief Science Officer would.

² "Institution" is meant to be construed broadly in this context. While most external appointments will involve other academic institutions, appointments at companies, government agencies, research institutes or non-profits would also be subject to these policies.

professorship" or something like it is arranged to give the individual appropriate status and access to facilities at the institution that is being visited. When the individual is not being paid full time by the University of Rochester for such a period, they are free to negotiate such an appointment – with or without salary – with the other institution for whatever fraction of time is not paid by the University of Rochester.

By contrast, during periods in which an individual with a faculty classification is being paid full time by the University of Rochester and is not on leave, the simultaneous holding of an appointment, with or without salary, at another academic institution, or at any institution if remuneration is involved, must not be done without the concurrence of the chair/director/associate dean³ as evidenced by written approval. The nature of the proposed appointment must be disclosed in writing to the chair/director/associate dean who may call on others in an assessment of the impact on the department/Institute, School and University. All documents pertaining to the nature of the appointment, associated responsibilities, and related compensation from the external institution must be provided by the faculty member to the chair/director/dean for review. (The chair/director/dean will provide a response in a timely fashion, typically notifying the faculty member within two weeks of the decision or the timeline for one, and will inform the school dean and involve them in the review when appropriate.) For international appointments, the review should consider compliance with federal law regarding the sharing of data, materials or technology. An appointment that involves remuneration beyond expenses and honoraria should be examined to ensure that it does not represent a conflict of commitment⁴. Adherence to a management plan, including, but not limited to, terms regarding allocation of institutional credit for publications and research developed while working within the external appointment, may be required. Faculty should be aware that external appointments must be reported in research grant applications and/or progress reports. If the external appointment involves a substantial time commitment (such that a conflict of commitment may exist) particularly involving activities normally associated with academic duties at the University of Rochester (e.g., teaching, conducting research, supervising students) a management plan may be required and could include commensurate adjustment in the scope of the University of Rochester appointment, or the faculty member may apply for a leave. Under any circumstances, a tenured or tenure-eligible faculty member at UR may not accept a tenured appointment at another institution without approval of the provost or president.

There are two notable exceptions to this policy. First, in some instances, particularly in clinical settings, external adjunct appointments may be part of a programmatic relationship between a University department and the external institution, e.g., a training program that uses a University department as a training site. In such cases, this policy may be fulfilled by a written blanket approval from the chair and dean rather than requiring individual approvals for each individual faculty member participating in the program. Second, service appointments such as editorships or leadership roles in professional societies or multi-institutional research initiatives are encouraged, provided that the faculty member can continue to fulfill their obligations to the University. In the case that an accommodation of effort is needed, approval for such accommodation should be obtained from the chair/director/associate dean.

³ Responsibility for recommending salary, making educational and service assignments, and setting expectations for research varies from school to school. The person who is responsible for this oversight should be consulted in evaluating external commitments.

⁴ Conflict of commitment relates to an individual's distribution of effort between obligations to University employment and participation in other activities outside of University employment. A conflict of commitment exists when a faculty member has a relationship that requires a commitment of time or effort to outside activities such that the faculty member cannot meet expected obligations to the university.

If a faculty member accepts an external appointment that is not approved as described in this policy or violates the terms of that approval, negative consequences justified by the circumstances may ensue, such as reimbursement of salary to the University, reductions in salary, loss of federal funding, or other appropriate measures.

If the faculty member disagrees with the judgement of the chair/director/associate dean or school dean, they may appeal to the Conflict of Interest Committee of the University or seek redress via faculty grievance procedures.

The above is the basic policy on simultaneous appointments at other institutions that holds throughout the University. Specific schools and units may have additional policies further restricting the ability of a faculty member to accept external appointments. Please consult the office of the appropriate dean or vice president for details.

8. Resignation

[updated July 1999]

It is customary to notify a department chair prior to May 1 of a resignation effective at the close of the academic year.

B. Special Faculty Titles

1. Visiting Faculty

[updated July 1999]

The term "visiting" appended to an academic title usually implies that the individual is a visitor from another institution who retains their status at that institution. It invariably implies a relatively transient appointment. A term of up to one year is usual. In very special cases, it could be extended for another year, and in truly extraordinary circumstances, for a third year. The title should not be used for individuals when prolonged appointments are anticipated.

The authority required for visiting appointments is precisely the same as for the related regular appointments. For instance, visiting assistant professors can be appointed on the authority of a dean (provided that the appointment is within the academic and financial plans previously agreed to by the dean and the provost), but visiting associate professors can be appointed only with the approval of the provost, senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs (Medical Center cases only), or president and the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees.

2. Part-Time Faculty

[updated July 1999]

All titles used for members of the instructional faculty may be used for persons appointed on a part-time basis, with the part-time designation following the title given (e.g., professor, PT). Part-time members of the faculty are normally compensated at an appropriate schedule in proportion to the amount of time given in service to the University. Appointments may be recommended by the department chair with appropriate faculty approval, with a review of the appointment made in the same manner as the other appointments. The duration of a part-time appointment will normally not exceed one year; in rare instances, arrangements

for a longer period of time may be made when the intention is clear that the relationship with the University is a continuing one. Such appointments are renewable.

Certain University benefits apply in full to part-time faculty, such as travel and accident insurance, workers' compensation and medical insurance. Others, for example tuition benefits, are not applicable. The Office of Human Resources should be consulted about details of applicable benefits.

Part-time positions are never tenured, nor are the customary rules for maximum time in rank applicable.

3. Adjunct Faculty

[updated November 2002]

The titles adjunct professor, adjunct associate professor, and adjunct assistant professor may be used to provide faculty status for professional persons whose primary place of appointment is outside the University or outside of the school in which the appointment is given. Appointments may be recommended by the departmental chair with appropriate faculty approval, with the review of the appointment made in the same manner as with other appointments. The duration of the appointment should be indicated at the time of appointment. In rare instances, a distinguished person might be given an indefinite appointment as adjunct professor.

Adjunct faculty will often be affiliated with an institution with which the University is cooperating in some way. The activities expected of adjunct faculty on behalf of the University will be a matter of record both in the University department and in the home institution, with an indication of approval for the activity.

Normally, there will be no compensation for adjunct faculty. Any agreement regarding compensation, however, will be a matter of record. University benefits will not normally be provided.

By department action, adjunct and part-time faculty members may be permitted to attend all faculty meetings and to have full vote on matters pertaining to ongoing research and instructional programs. Attendance at and participation in departmental meetings will be at the discretion of the department, although adjunct and part-time faculty members will not normally be involved in review of matters of promotion, appointment, or allocation of departmental resources with long-term implications. Their assignments may include, when appropriate, the advising of undergraduate and graduate students. Service on doctoral committees, with approval of the appropriate associate dean for graduate studies and the University Dean of Graduate Studies, is permissible under current rules of the Graduate Council; however, only adjunct professors whose appointments are expected to continue for a sufficient period will be eligible to serve in such a role. Adjunct faculty may not serve as the primary dissertation advisor.

4. Clinical and Other Special Faculty

[updated January 1, 2025]

Some of the professional schools give titles to faculty members without tenure-eligible appointments who perform teaching or other functions. At the William E. Simon Graduate School of Business Administration, for example, the titles of lecturer, senior lecturer, and professorial lecturer are given to those in the teaching track. At the Schools of Medicine and Dentistry, and the School of Nursing, clinical faculty contribute to the teaching mission and the professional obligations of the schools.

Deans or, in the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the School of Nursing, the senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs, may propose special titles and the associated appointment schedules after appropriate consultation within the schools. The proposals are submitted to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges for review and advice, and to the Board of Trustees, which must approve them.

5. Retired Faculty with Honorary Title

[updated October 2018]

When an individual holding the rank of assistant, associate, or full professor retires, they will be eligible for consideration for the title “emeritus professor,” “emerita professor,” “emeritum professor,” or “emeritx professor.”

The formal action for such a designation follows the usual channels for changes in title; it originates in the department and goes through the dean’s office to the provost. Approval by the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees is required. Conditional on this approval, the choice of a specific title is to be determined by the individual who will hold the title.

6. Other Nontenure Faculty Titles

[updated January 1, 2025]

Full-Time

Full-time faculty are normally appointed to positions with titles named in the section on “[Faculty Appointments](#),” with promotion and tenure procedures described in the pages following that description. For exceptional cases, special titles are available for appointments outside of the tenure track. Adoption of any of these special titles by any school, and the suggested rules for these appointments, is reviewed by the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges (as described in the section on “[Faculty Senate](#)”). Titles allowed under this section include assistant professor (teaching), associate professor (teaching), and professor (teaching); assistant professor (research), associate professor (research), and professor (research); associate and senior associate; research associate and senior research associate; and scientist and senior scientist. In the School of Medicine and Dentistry, the following titles may also be used for appointments without tenure eligibility: research assistant professor, research associate professor, and research professor.

Part-Time

Persons who teach part time and do not hold regular academic appointments at the University of Rochester may hold any of the following titles: assistant lecturer, associate lecturer, lecturer, and senior lecturer. The title is also used in some departments and schools where it denotes a part-time position; in the Eastman School of Music, it is used for non-tenured collegiate positions.

C. Faculty Grievance Procedures

[updated January 1, 2025]

To ensure parity across the institution, eligibility to vote in Faculty Senate elections is used to determine which grievance processes are available to a faculty member. Faculty members who are not eligible to vote in Senate elections should use the grievance procedures available through HR (<https://www.rochester.edu/policies/policy/grievance-procedure/>). Faculty members who are eligible to

vote in Senate elections⁵ have available the three different grievance procedures outlined in the paragraph that follows below.

The first procedure is designed for grievances relating to faculty reappointments, promotions, and tenure, the second procedure is for general grievances, and the third procedure is for those relating to discrimination. The faculty member decides which of these procedures most closely fits their concern, since only one of these procedures may be utilized for any particular grievance. The details of each procedure are given below.

Grievances related to faculty reappointments, promotions and tenure follow the procedure described in “[Tenure and Appointment Grievances](#).”

Grievances over faculty salaries, teaching loads, office space, real and potential conflicts of interest, and the like, follow the procedure described under “[General Grievances](#).”

Grievances in which a faculty member believes that they have suffered discrimination or harassment on the grounds of sex, race, age, national origin, disability, religion, sexual orientation, or gender identity are subject to the procedure outlined in the University of Rochester’s Policy Against Discrimination and Harassment ([Policy 106](#)). Any case in which a member of the University community alleges discrimination or harassment by a faculty member follows the same procedure.

These three procedures are appropriate for grievances relating to events occurring while an individual holds a faculty position. Each school makes its own determination of the ranks that confer faculty status, and the appropriate dean’s office shall be consulted in cases of doubt.

References to academic freedom in this Handbook are taken from the *Statement of Principles on Academic Freedom and Tenure* adopted by the Association of American University Professors and the Association of American Colleges in 1940 as these were further interpreted by a joint committee of those associations in 1970.

1. Faculty members are entitled to full freedom in research and in the publication of results, subject to the performance of their other academic duties such as teaching, administrative service, clinical responsibilities and whatever other responsibilities are specified in their appointment agreement.
2. Faculty members are entitled to freedom in the classroom in discussing their subject, but they should be careful not to introduce into their teaching controversial matter which has no relation to their subject.
3. Faculty members are participants in civil society, members of a learned profession, and officers of an educational institution. When they speak or write as participants in civil society, they should be free from institutional censorship or discipline, but their special position in the community imposes special obligations. As scholars and educational officers, they should remember that the public may judge their profession and their institution by their utterances. Hence they should at all times be accurate, exercise appropriate restraint, show respect for the opinions of others, and make every effort to indicate that they are not speaking for the institution.
4. Both the protection of academic freedom and the requirements of academic responsibility apply to all faculty who exercise teaching responsibilities.

⁵ At the date of this revision [January 1, 2025], the Faculty Senate determines voting faculty eligibility using employee records. Faculty members may go to (<https://www.rochester.edu/Faculty/senate/about/voting-eligibility/>) to view their voting eligibility.

At any step in these procedures an administrator may wish to consult their customary advisory body. For instance, when the dean of the School of Medicine and Dentistry is involved, they may seek advice from the school's Executive Committee.

These procedures have no bearing upon hospital privileges at Strong Memorial Hospital or any other hospital affiliated with the University. Special procedures approved by the Joint Commission for the Accreditation of Health Care Organizations exist for this purpose.

1. Tenure and Appointment Grievances

If an individual believes that they have grounds for a grievance based on a decision related to tenure, the granting of tenure, reappointments or promotions, they shall inform, in writing, the president, provost, and, in cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry, or the School of Nursing, the senior vice president for health sciences. Grounds for such a grievance include both procedural irregularities in the way decisions were reached as well as violations of an individual's academic freedom. The president and provost will then refer the matter to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges for review and recommendation.

If the person presenting the grievance is a member of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges, they shall withdraw from the committee until the case is settled. Similarly, if any member of the committee is a member of the same department as that of grievant, they shall withdraw from this committee when it convenes for this purpose until the case is settled. Other members of the committee shall withdraw from the committee during any investigation if, in their own judgment, they are not able to participate impartially. If any member of the committee withdraws for any of these reasons, they should be temporarily replaced by a member of one of the faculties of the University who has tenure, the new and temporary member being chosen by the remaining members of the committee.

If the president, provost and the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges are unanimous that the grievance is without *prima facie* merit and should not be heard, the process may end with the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges submitting a letter to the president, provost and complainant to this effect.

If any of the president, provost or University Committee on Tenure and Privileges decides the case should be heard, the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall promptly appoint a Faculty Grievance Committee of five members of the University of Rochester faculty from a list of 15 University of Rochester faculty, nominated by the president or provost (in consultation with the senior vice president for health sciences for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing). In the event that the grievance involves a tenured faculty member, the list of 15 potential members shall be comprised of 15 tenured faculty from which the UCTP will select five members for the Faculty Grievance Committee. In the event that the grievance involves a contract-based faculty member⁶, the list of 15 potential members should include at least ten full-time long-serving contract-based faculty members (defined as those having gone through at least one reappointment and with at least six years of employment

⁶ The term "contract-based" indicates that promotion to tenure is not available from the position to which the faculty member is appointed.

as a faculty member at the University of Rochester). From this list of 15, the UCTP will select five members for the Faculty Grievance Committee, and at least three of these five must be contract-based faculty members.

The initial list of 15 potential members for the Faculty Grievance Committee (in the case of

both tenured and contract-based grievants) shall have appropriate representation from the same school as the faculty member presenting the grievance, and shall have a majority from that school unless the president finds it unreasonable. The University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall apply the same principle in selecting the five members of the Faculty Grievance Committee itself. The Faculty Grievance Committee may, at its discretion, add to the committee not more than two members of the faculties of other institutions from a panel of six such persons nominated by the president or provost (in consultation with the senior vice president for health sciences for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry, or the School of Nursing). This list of six from which the two are selected shall be composed entirely of tenured faculty in the case of a tenured grievant, and this list must include contract-based faculty in the case of a contract-based faculty grievant so that the final committee would be comprised of a majority of contract-based faculty in that instance.

It is the duty of the Faculty Grievance Committee to investigate, conduct interviews, make recommendations, and report upon any case presented to it.

The Faculty Grievance Committee shall elect one member to chair its meetings and the interviews. The grievance process shall be as follows:

1. The Provost will designate an “academic lead” who defends the decision being grieved. The academic lead may be the individual who made the decision that is being grieved.
2. The grievance committee may receive and may request written materials from the President, the Provost, or the complainant, even such materials as would otherwise be confidential (except if such confidentiality cannot be breached by law, or except if the materials were generated by the University’s Ombuds process and the person initiating the discussion with the Ombuds does not waive the expectation of confidentiality in the Ombuds process). In particular, this request can be made for any document in possession of the University, with the noted exceptions. The committee will inform the complainant and the academic lead that these documents have been introduced into the grievance process.
3. The grievance committee will conduct interviews, including of the academic lead and the complainant bringing the grievance. Both the academic lead and the complainant may propose other interviewees to the grievance committee. If there are charges of incompetence, the interviewees may include teachers and scholars either from the University or other institutions. Interviews must be recorded in order to provide the verbatim record required at the end of the process, and in order to facilitate rebuttal of testimony in the interviews.
 - a. The grievance committee will decide who will be interviewed and in what order. As a guideline, the grievance committee should try to limit the total time of six hours for each side’s interviewees.
 - b. Interviewees may be called more than once to allow for rebuttal and clarification of testimony. Special consideration should be given to the complainant and academic lead to allow them to be heard in rebutting or questioning testimony.
 - c. All interviewees may appear with an advisor or advisor(s) of their choosing before the committee. However, the grievance committee will direct its questions to the interviewee,

- and the advisor(s) will not address the grievance committee. If an advisor disrupts the interview by repeatedly addressing the grievance committee, the committee may decide to suspend the interview and not allow that advisor to attend again.
- d. The grievance committee can decide if and by what means the complainant and academic lead can pose questions to be answered by each other and interviewees. These methods may include allowing the complainant or academic lead to attend an interview and pose questions, or allowing them to watch the interview remotely in real time and pose questions remotely. The grievance committee should rule on the relevance of all questions, with the guidelines that questions to be asked should be aimed at eliciting pertinent new testimony, or clarifying testimony, and should not be intended to intimidate an interviewee.
 4. The chair of the grievance committee, empowered by vote of the committee where appropriate, shall make decisions about procedural issues that arise and are not addressed specifically in the policy, such as when to schedule or delay an interview, when to put the grievance committee into closed session. Similarly, the chair of the committee may rule on disagreements regarding the interpretation of this policy that arise while conducting an interview.
 5. The grievance committee should endeavor to complete its interviews as quickly as is possible, to ensure a timely resolution to potentially time sensitive grievances.
 6. The University may choose to provide counsel to the grievance committee for the purpose of advising the committee on the grievance process.
 7. The Office of the Provost will be responsible for providing administrative assistance to the grievance committee for required aspects of the grievance process, including document handling and scheduling support.
 8. No member of the University community may retaliate against participants for their role in a grievance process. Those so protected include interviewees, members of the grievance committee, members of the UCTP, the complainant, and the academic lead. It is the responsibility of the President, Provost, and Deans to take reasonable steps to protect participants against such retaliation by other members of the University community.

At the request of any committee member, any vote of the committee on a matter of substance will be conducted by secret ballot.

The report of the Faculty Grievance Committee shall consist of a summary of its findings and recommendations for the disposition of the case. Their report, all relevant material resulting from these deliberations, and a full verbatim record of the interviews shall be presented to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges.

After completion of their review, the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges will provide the complainant a full verbatim record of the Faculty Grievance Committee interviews, a copy of the Faculty Grievance Committee's report, and a copy of the comments and recommendations of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. In grievances involving promotion to tenure, confidential letters submitted by outside reviewers will not be shared with the complainant. The complainant will be expected to keep contents of the verbatim record confidential.

University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall also submit the report to the dean or director of the appropriate school and to the president, provost, and, in cases involving the School of Medicine or the School of Nursing, the senior vice president for health sciences, with its comments and any recommendations it may have. The report of the Faculty Grievance Committee and the comments of the

University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall be major factors in the final decision of the administration, and, in cases involving tenure, the Board of Trustees.

The deliberations and recommendations of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges and of the Faculty Grievance Committee shall be held confidential by their members. All relevant material resulting from these deliberations shall be placed at the disposal of the president and provost, the senior vice president for health sciences (for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing), and the appropriate dean or director at their request by the chair of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. If, however, the person bringing the grievance shall request it, the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall report the recommendations of the Faculty Grievance Committee and of the University Committee to the faculty of the appropriate school, without comment beyond certifying the correctness of the procedure.

Once the final decision has been reached by the president, the provost and the senior vice president for health sciences (for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing) and, in cases involving tenure, approved by the Board of Trustees, a letter stating the outcome and broadly explaining the rationale for the decision shall be prepared by the president and provided to the complainant and the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges.

2. General Grievance Procedure

The procedures described below leave intact an important tenet of the University: the trustees and the central administration have delegated to the schools the responsibility for making academic decisions in their own areas of expertise. In such academic areas, which include the standing of a faculty member in their field as reflected in salary or related matters, the responsibility for the substance of decisions rests with the school itself, and with the dean as the primary representative of that school. Appeals from the decision of the dean to the level of the provost may be made only in cases where the appellant believes that improper procedures have been applied or that bias or prejudice have entered the procedures. It is not in the nature of the University for the provost or president to substitute their academic judgment for the academic judgment of a dean.

General grievance procedures are intended for disputes involving salaries, teaching loads, office space, real and potential conflicts of interest, and the like. It shall not be used, however, for cases in which a faculty member seriously believes their tenure to be violated, for cases involving promotion or reappointments, or for cases in which discrimination is the source of the complaint.

Any member of the faculty who believes that they have a general grievance that does not involve the infringement of academic freedom is not only entitled but is encouraged to go to their department chair (or program director, when applicable and appropriate throughout this policy) and to have a formal interview of the grievance. They shall first make certain that the department chair has all the evidence that the faculty member wishes, including any written documents, testimonial, or relevant information whatsoever.

The chair may be able to respond at the end of the meeting. In the likely case that new information or arguments emerge at the meeting, the chair may take the grievance under advisement. There is no rule on how long the chair may take to study the whole matter, but the expectation is that it will be a matter of only a few days unless the interview opens up some major new area that requires much longer to investigate. At the end of this time, the chair shall report the decision to the faculty member in writing.

If this decision is not satisfactory to the faculty member, they have the right to appeal to the dean. The same procedure shall be followed there. If new information is to be introduced by the complainant, the department chair must have an opportunity to study that and may ask to repeat the original interview process. It is best if the department chair can accompany the faculty member in the interview by the dean, but that is not required.

The dean is expected to respond in writing to the complainant within a few days. However, the complexity of the complaint, a need to independently gather information from others, including the department chair if they have not been present during the interview may delay the decision.

In cases where the grievance crosses department or school boundaries, the complainant should consult the provost who will decide which chair(s) and/or dean(s) should be involved in these interview processes.

If the faculty member is still unsatisfied, they may inform the provost or the senior vice president for health sciences. The complainant may elect for an interview solely by the provost. The best interests of the faculty member are usually served in such an interview if the dean and the department chair can be present, but the faculty member has the right to have this interview alone if they wish. If new information has become available, the department chair and dean must have an opportunity to study it. If there is substantial new information, the interview with the chair shall be held again, effectively restarting the process.

After consultation with the provost, any member of the faculty who believes that they have a general grievance that does involve the infringement of academic freedom may request the involvement of a Faculty Grievance Committee. The provost will then refer the case to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges unless, in consultation with the University Counsel, the provost determines that the grievance should be referred to one of the other two processes described in this document. If so, the provost will inform the complainant of this determination and the procedures for those types of grievance will be followed instead.

If the person presenting the grievance is a member of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges, they shall withdraw from the committee until the case is settled. Similarly, if any member of the committee is a member of the same department as that of the grievant, they shall withdraw from this committee when it convenes for this purpose until the case is settled. Other members of the committee shall withdraw from the committee during any investigation if, in their own judgment, they are not able to participate impartially. If any member of the committee withdraws for any of these reasons, they should be temporarily replaced by a member of one of the faculties of the University who has tenure, the new and temporary member being chosen by the remaining members of the committee.

If the provost and the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges are unanimous that the grievance is without *prima facie* merit or if the grievance does not relate to academic freedom and therefore should not be heard, the process may end with the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges submitting a letter to the provost and complainant to this effect.

For each grievance that either the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges or the provost determine involves questions of academic freedom, the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall promptly appoint a the Faculty Grievance Committee which consists of five members selected from a list of 15 faculty members of the University of Rochester nominated by the president or provost (in consultation with the senior vice president health sciences for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing). For grievances brought by faculty members who are tenured, or in

tenure-eligible positions, the nomination list and therefore the Faculty Grievance Committee will consist only of members of the faculty with tenure. For grievances brought by faculty members whose appointments are not tenure-eligible, the nomination list should contain between three and six long-serving faculty (as described above in section on tenure and appointment grievances) in non-tenure

eligible positions. In cases involving non-tenure-eligible faculty members in the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing, the Faculty Grievance Committee will be appointed from a list that includes full- or part-time senior faculty members familiar with their work contexts. This list shall have appropriate representation from the same school as the faculty member presenting the grievance and shall have a majority from that school unless the president or provost finds it unreasonable. The University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall apply the same principle in selecting the Faculty Grievance Committee. For grievances brought by faculty members whose appointments are not tenure-eligible, the Faculty Grievance Committee should have one or two faculty members in non-tenure-eligible positions. The Faculty Grievance Committee may, at its discretion, add not more than two faculty members from other institutions, selected from a list of six such persons nominated by the president or provost (in consultation with the senior vice president for health sciences for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry, or the School of Nursing).

It is the duty of the Faculty Grievance Committee to investigate, conduct interviews, make recommendations, and report upon any case presented to it. It is the responsibility of the complainant to demonstrate the way the adverse decision that is the subject of the complaint was taken in response to an action protected by the principle of academic freedom.

The Faculty Grievance Committee shall elect one member to chair its meetings and the interviews. The grievance process shall be as follows:

1. The Provost will designate an “academic lead” who defends the decision being grieved. The academic lead may be the individual who made the decision that is being grieved.
2. The grievance committee may receive and may request written materials from the President, the Provost, or the complainant, even such materials as would otherwise be confidential (except if such confidentiality cannot be breached by law, or except if the materials were generated by the University’s Ombuds process and the person initiating the discussion with the Ombuds does not waive the expectation of confidentiality in the Ombuds process). The committee will inform the complainant and the academic lead that these documents have been introduced into the grievance process.
3. The grievance committee will conduct interviews, including of the academic lead and the complainant bringing the grievance. The involved department chair(s) and deans(s) will also have an opportunity to be interviewed by the Faculty Grievance Committee. Both the academic lead and the complainant may propose other interviewees to the grievance committee. Interviews must be recorded in order to provide the verbatim record required at the end of the process, and in order to facilitate rebuttal of testimony in the interviews.
 - a. The grievance committee will decide who will be interviewed and in what order. As a guideline, the grievance committee should try to limit the total time of four hours for each side’s interviewees.
 - b. Interviewees may be called more than once to allow for rebuttal and clarification of testimony. Special consideration should be given to the complainant and academic lead to allow them to be heard in rebutting or questioning testimony.

- c. All interviewees may appear with an advisor or advisor(s) of their choosing before the committee. However, the grievance committee will direct its questions to the interviewee, and the advisor(s) will not address the grievance committee. If an advisor disrupts the interview by repeatedly addressing the grievance committee, the committee may decide to suspend the interview and not allow that advisor to attend again.
 - d. The grievance committee can decide if and by what means the complainant and academic lead can pose questions to be answered by each other and interviewees. These methods may include allowing the complainant or academic lead to attend an interview and pose questions, or allowing them to watch the interview remotely in real time and pose questions remotely. The grievance committee should rule on the relevance of all questions, with the guidelines that questions to be asked should be aimed at eliciting pertinent new testimony, or clarifying testimony, and should not be intended to intimidate an interviewee.
4. The chair of the grievance committee, empowered by vote of the committee where appropriate, shall make decisions about procedural issues that arise and are not addressed specifically in the policy, such as when to schedule or delay an interview, when to put the grievance committee into closed session. Similarly, the chair of the committee may rule on disagreements regarding the interpretation of this policy that arise while conducting an interview.
 5. The grievance committee should endeavor to complete its interviews as quickly as is possible, to ensure a timely resolution to potentially time sensitive grievances.
 6. The University may choose to provide counsel to the grievance committee for the purpose of advising the committee on the grievance process.
 7. The Office of the Provost will be responsible for providing administrative assistance to the grievance committee for required aspects of the grievance process, including document handling and scheduling support.
 8. No member of the University community may retaliate against participants for their role in a grievance process. Those so protected include interviewees, members of the grievance committee, members of the UCTP, the complainant, and the academic lead. It is the responsibility of the President, Provost, and Deans to take reasonable steps to protect participants against such retaliation by other members of the University community.

A verbatim record of the interviews shall be made available to the complainant and the involved department chair(s) and dean(s).

At the request of any committee member, any vote of the committee on a matter of substance will be conducted by secret ballot.

The Faculty Grievance Committee's report shall consist of a summary of findings, comments on the case, and the Faculty Grievance Committee's recommendations for the disposition of the case. The deliberations and recommendations of the Faculty Grievance Committee shall be held confidential by its members. The report shall be presented to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges which in turn shall submit the report to the provost, and, in cases involving the School of Medicine or the School of Nursing, to the senior vice president for health sciences. The complainant and involved department chair(s) and dean(s) shall also be entitled to receive a copy of the Committee's report and comments of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. All relevant material resulting from these deliberations shall be placed at the disposal of the provost or senior vice president for health sciences (for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing) by the chair of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges. The report of the Faculty Grievance Committee and

comments of the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges shall be major factors in the final decision of the provost and/or the senior vice president for health sciences.

D. Procedures for Revocation of Tenure and Contract Abrogation

[updated January 1, 2025]

1. Tenure may be revoked and term contracts may be abrogated by the Board of Trustees only for cause, academic cause, or bona fide financial exigency of the University. The same procedures shall be used for addressing representations concerning a faculty member with tenure possibly leading to their removal for cause or academic cause and representations made concerning a faculty member without tenure possibly leading to their removal for cause or academic cause prior to the end of the period for which they are appointed.
2. Cause shall be restricted to physical or mental incompetence or moral conduct unbecoming the position. Academic cause shall be defined as the failure by a member of the faculty to discharge responsibly their fundamental obligations as a teacher, colleague, or member of the wider community of scholars.
3. Representations made concerning a person with tenure possibly leading to their removal for cause or academic cause, and representations made concerning a person without tenure possibly leading to their removal for cause prior to the end of the period for which they are appointed, must be presented to the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges (UCTP). Such representations may be initiated by the President or the Provost. The committee may itself make such representations on the grounds of cause or academic cause concerning persons with or without tenure; a hearing will not go forward without Provost review of the representations and a finding by the Provost that the representations made by UCTP have prima facie merit.
4. In any case in which the person whose qualifications as a faculty member are in question is a member of the UCTP, they shall withdraw from the committee until the case is concluded. Similarly, if any member of the committee is a member of the same department as that of the person under question, they shall withdraw from this committee when it convenes for this purpose until the case is concluded. Other members of the committee shall withdraw from the committee during any investigation if, in their own judgment, they are not able to participate impartially. If any member of the committee withdraws for any of the reasons, they shall be temporarily replaced by a member of one of the faculties of the University who has tenure, the new and temporary member being chosen by the remaining members of the committee. Similarly, if other individuals named in this process, in their own judgment, are not able to participate impartially, they may name a substitute to carry out their role.
5. If the respondent to these representations waives their right to a hearing in writing, then the involvement of the UCTP will end in this case. Otherwise, for each case concerning revocation of tenure, the UCTP shall appoint a hearing committee of five members of the faculty from a list, nominated by the president or the provost (in consultation with the senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs in cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing) of 15 members from the faculties of the University of Rochester. In the case of representations brought against faculty members who are tenured, or in tenure-eligible positions, the nomination list and therefore the hearing committee will consist only of members of the faculty with tenure. In the case of representations brought against faculty members whose appointments

are not tenure-eligible, the nomination list should contain between three and six long-serving faculty in non-tenure-eligible positions, while the remainder of the nominees should be tenured. This list shall have representation from the same school as the faculty member against whom charges have been preferred, and shall have a majority from that school unless the president finds it unreasonable. The UCTP shall apply the same principle in selecting the hearing committee. In the case of representations brought against faculty members whose appointments are not tenure-eligible, the hearing committee should have one or two faculty members in non-tenure-eligible positions. The committee may, at its discretion, add to this hearing committee not more than two members of the faculties of other institutions from a panel of six such persons nominated by the president or the provost (in consultation with the senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs in cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing). The appointment of the hearing committee will be completed within two weeks of the tenure revocation case being referred to the UCTP.

6. It is the duty of this hearing committee to hold hearings, make recommendations, and report upon any case presented to it. In cases of violations of the University's Title IX policy, or violations of the Policy Against Discrimination and Harassment (Policy 106), or cases of research misconduct, the hearing committee will accept the results of University investigations including but not limited to the related hearing determination and appeal opportunity, if any completed under those policies as the factual basis concerning the alleged policy violations. For other violations, the hearing committee may need to gather and/or evaluate evidence, either from testimony or documents. In either case, the hearing committee may consult with an expert at the University, through testimony in the hearing, to assist with the investigation or to help interpret the evidence. The hearing committee and the UCTP will also have access to all documents in the case that are in the possession of the University, except those to which access is prohibited by law or subject to attorney-client privilege. The hearing committee shall elect one member to chair its meetings and the hearings. At the request of any committee member, any vote of the committee on a matter of substance will be conducted by secret ballot. The respondent shall be fully informed, in writing, before the hearing of the charges against them. The hearing process shall be as follows:
 - a. The President will designate an "academic lead" to present the representations described in item #3.
 - b. The hearing committee will receive documents from previous investigations related to the case, if any exist, and will inform the academic lead and respondent that these documents have been introduced into the hearing process.
 - c. During the hearing, the hearing committee will conduct interviews, including of the responding faculty member under investigation and the academic lead. Both the academic lead and the respondent may propose other interviewees to the hearing committee. If there are charges of incompetence, the interviewees may include teachers and scholars from the University and from other institutions.
 - i. The hearing committee will decide who will be interviewed and in what order, except that the respondent will be interviewed both first and last, and that one interview of the academic lead will be second-to-last. As a guideline, the hearing committee should consider a time limit for interviewees proposed by the academic lead and by the respondent of no more than six hours each.
 - ii. Interviewees may be called more than once to allow for rebuttal and clarification of testimony.

- iii. All interviewees may appear with an advisor or advisor(s) of their choosing before the committee. However, the hearing committee will direct its questions to the interviewee, and the advisor(s) will not address the hearing committee.
 - iv. Each time the respondent or academic lead testifies before the hearing committee, they may make an opening statement.
 - v. The academic lead and the respondent will be allowed to remotely view and hear the testimony in real time of each interviewee. In response to the testimony, the academic lead and respondent can submit written questions, intended for the interviewee, to the hearing committee. The hearing committee will decide which questions should be posed to the interviewee. Questions that are asked should be aimed at eliciting pertinent new testimony, or clarifying testimony, and should not be intended to intimidate the interviewee.
 - d. The chair of the hearing committee, empowered by vote of the committee where appropriate, shall make decisions about procedural issues that arise and are not addressed specifically in the policy, such as when to extend a deadline, schedule or delay a hearing, or when to put the hearing committee into closed session. Similarly, the chair of the committee may rule on disagreements regarding the interpretation of this policy that arise while conducting the hearing.
 - e. Verbatim records of all interviews will be made available to the academic lead and the respondent. In particular, the academic lead and respondent will receive verbatim records of all previous interviews before each of their appearances before the hearing committee.
 - f. The hearings will begin within two weeks of the formation of the hearing committee.
 - g. The University may make available counsel to the hearing committee for the purpose of advising the committee on the hearing process.
 - h. The Office of the Provost will be responsible for providing administrative assistance to the hearing committee for required aspects of the hearing process, including document handling and scheduling support.
7. The report of the hearing committee shall consist of a summary of its findings and recommendations for the disposition of the case. In keeping with the recommendations of the American Association of University Professors in cases of faculty discipline, the determinations of facts in the case will employ a “clear and convincing” standard, a demonstration that the accuracy of the allegations leading to cause or academic cause for termination is highly probable. However, in cases of alleged violation of the University’s Title IX policy, or violation of the Policy Against Discrimination and Harassment (Policy 106), or cases of research misconduct, the hearing committee will accept the results of the University investigations, including but not limited to the related hearing determination and appeal opportunity, if any, completed under those policies as determinative of the factual basis concerning the alleged policy violation.
- a. Within four weeks of the conclusion of the hearing or receipt of a verbatim record of the hearing proceedings, whichever is later, the report shall be presented to the UCTP. The respondent and academic lead will receive a copy of the hearing committee’s report at this time.
 - b. The UCTP will then prepare comments on the case, which may include recommendations concerning the conditions under which the tenure of the faculty member is to be terminated.
 - c. The report of the hearing committee, including a transcript of the hearing and exhibits accepted by the hearing committee as relevant, and the comments of the UCTP will be forwarded to the President. Working from the records of the hearing committee and these documents, the President will make a decision about what to recommend to the Board of Trustees regarding the case.

- i. The President should not base their decision on any facts that were not brought into the faculty hearing process. If after the UCTP makes its recommendation, the President determines additional information or allegations not available to the UCTP will impact the President's decision in any material way, the President shall share this information with the UCTP and remand the matter to the UCTP for further consideration. The UCTP will submit supplemental comments to the President based on this further consideration prior to a decision by the President.
 - ii. If the President takes a position inconsistent with the faculty recommendation from the UCTP process, there should be a discussion between the President and the UCTP before the President issues a decision. The UCTP may revise its comments after this meeting and will be allowed a reasonable period of time, as determined by the President, to provide them revised comments to the President for consideration prior to issuance of the President's decision.
 - iii. In their decision, the President will give great weight to faculty judgment on matters related to faculty status and performance in the research, teaching, and service domains.
 - iv. If the President decides to recommend tenure revocation or contract abrogation to the Board of Trustees, the President's recommendation and the products of the faculty process shall both go to the Board. The President's recommendation letter shall state the reasons for any disagreement with the UCTP recommendations, and a copy of the letter shall be provided to the faculty member and the chair of the UCTP.
 - v. If the President decides against a recommendation to the Board of Trustees of contract abrogation or tenure revocation, that decision shall be final. The President may impose lesser sanctions. In doing so, the President should consider any recommendations for such sanctions from the hearing committee or the UCTP.
8. The deliberations and recommendations of the UCTP and of the hearing committee shall be held confidential by their members. All relevant material resulting from these deliberations shall be placed at the disposal of the President and the Provost at their request by the chair of the UCTP. If, however, the person whose fitness has been investigated shall request it, the UCTP shall report the recommendations of its hearing committee and of the UCTP to the faculty of the appropriate school, without comment beyond certifying the correctness of procedure.
9. In the event of a bona fide financial exigency of the University, the release, by reason of this exigency, of any person with or without tenure prior to the normal expiration of their appointment shall not be carried out by the Board of Trustees prior to consultation with the UCTP.
10. The hearing committee, UCTP and others involved in the revocation process will adhere to the time frames described above; however, limited exceptions may be made for good cause shown.
11. Suspension of the respondent during the tenure revocation process is justified if, in the opinion of the President, harm to the respondent or to others at the University is likely if the respondent continues their duties without suspension. Any such suspension shall be with pay, until the time of the decision of the Board of Trustees. In exceptional circumstances, suspensions without pay or with reduced pay during the revocation process may be approved by concurrence of the President and the UCTP; however, in such cases the withheld pay will be restored to the faculty member in the event that the decision of the Board does not include that reduction in pay as a sanction for the conduct.
12. No member of the University community may retaliate against participants for their role in the tenure revocation process. Those so protected include interviewees, members of the hearing

committee, members of the UCTP, the respondent, and the academic lead. It is the responsibility of the President, Provost, and Deans to take reasonable steps to protect participants against such retaliation by other members of the University community.

13. The UCTP will report outcomes of cases to faculty, without identifying details, including a discussion of cases where faculty recommendations were not followed.
14. Discussion of further amendment of these regulations may be initiated at any time by members of the faculties of the University, deans and directors of the schools, the Senior Vice President and Vice Provost for Health Affairs (for cases in the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the School of Nursing only), the President, the Provost, or the Board of Trustees. Any specific written agreement concerning tenure between the Board of Trustees and the individual member of the faculties of the University that is in existence at the date of the adoption of these regulations shall remain in force until the stated time of expiration of such agreement. In the case of all new appointments or reappointments after the date of adoption, these regulations shall apply.

E. Leaves

1. Principles Applicable to All Leaves

[updated July 1999]

Any absence of a faculty member from the campus that involves any interference with their normal instructional, advising, or other duties requires a discussion between the faculty member and the departmental chair about arrangements for such absence. In concert with the dean, the individual requesting the leave has the responsibility for assuring that classes are taught, that students are advised, and that other academic responsibilities are properly managed. (Where the individual is also a department chair, please read "dean" where "chair" appears below. In all cases in the School of Medicine and Dentistry and School of Nursing, please read "senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs" where "dean" appears.)

The faculty member should be sure that the chair knows where they will be, and if possible how they can be reached by telephone or electronic mail, primarily for consultation about the University's work. If any remuneration (beyond that allowed in the usual consulting policy) is provided, the faculty member should tell the chair and dean the details.

In any review by the department chair, dean, or provost of these arrangements, the primary factors considered will be the purpose of the leave and the likelihood that it will strengthen the individual, the department, the school, and the University.

Faculty with nine-month appointments are expected (in the absence of a written variance from their chair or dean) to work throughout the academic year, defined for this purpose as September 1 through May 31 or, in the case of the Simon School, September 15 through June 15. One implication is that periods such as spring break, the break between semesters, or from commencement to the end of May are not vacations. Arrangements to leave during those times have to be made as described under "[Leaves](#)." Faculty who are not employed by the University during the summer are free to arrange remuneration and appointments elsewhere at this time, but they may be called on for such matters as graduate examinations.

2. Leaves of One Week or Less

[updated July 1999]

When the absence from the campus is for one week or less, arrangements should be made by agreement between the faculty member and the department chair. The department office should have a statement of the arrangements made. No arrangements with the dean and no leave forms are required.

3. Leaves of More Than One Week but Less Than One Month

[updated July 1999]

To the extent that it affects teaching or similar obligations, any absence longer than one week requires that the department chair submit the purpose of the leave and the arrangements to the dean for approval well in advance of the beginning of the absence. A letter about the arrangements should be placed on file in the college office, but no leave form is required.

4. Leaves of More Than One Month

[updated January 1, 2025]

These leaves are frequently described as "academic leaves," although it is not implied that briefer leaves are nonacademic. The University's program of academic leave is designed to enhance the scholarship of the faculty member and their value to the University. Leaves are granted on the basis of a well-thought-out plan of research or other scholarly activities that promise to contribute to the development of the faculty member and to make them a more valuable teacher and scholar. The University does not follow a formula plan of sabbatical leaves, but it attempts to provide at least as much freedom for faculty to enlarge and enhance their scholarly excellence by leaves.

An academic leave allows for a concentrated and sustained scholarly effort, which is necessary for the successful pursuit of some types of new knowledge. A leave also enables the faculty member to spend time with a group of scholars different from their usual colleagues, thereby providing the stimulus for new ideas. Academic leaves also permit members of the faculty to serve for short terms in important governmental roles. These positions also provide insights into new avenues of research for the faculty member. Academic leaves are available for tenure-eligible faculty members only.

A statement of University leave policy, approved by the trustees, set forth the following guidelines for individual leave requests.

1. Each application for leave must be accompanied by a written proposal describing the research and study activities to be followed during the absence. The department chair (dean in schools without departments) will review the proposal and, if they approve, forward it to the dean together with a letter giving their reasons for support. The dean will add their own analysis and recommendation and submit them to the provost.
2. The purpose of academic leave is to encourage and support the scholarly development of faculty, thereby improving the educational programs of the University. Consequently, academic leaves accompanied by any University support will be awarded only to associate and full professors, except in certain circumstances. (See "[Junior Faculty Leaves](#).")
3. The absence of individual faculty members from campus interferes with the educational program of students and deprives colleagues of important intellectual stimulation. Consequently, priority will be given to those requests where past leaves are least recent or where disruption of instruction is minimal.
4. The purpose of University support of a leave is to increase the value of the individual to the University. Leaves of absence with pay constitute a significant investment on the part of the

University in the future career of the individual faculty member. Such leaves are granted on the understanding that the faculty member has an obligation to return to their position at the University for a period of time at least equivalent to the length of the leave. This obligation will be made explicit in the notification that leave is approved. If an individual accepts appointment at another institution after a leave is granted, the University's financial contribution (including benefits) to the leave will be terminated. An individual who does not return to the University after a paid leave must reimburse the University for the cost incurred to finance the leave.

5. One of the purposes of a leave of absence is to provide the stimulation of a different intellectual environment; another is to permit the individual to become disentangled from committee and other obligations. Consequently, the individual should remove themselves from all administrative and advisory responsibilities that, in their own judgement, do not contribute to goals of the proposed leave or best interests of their career.
6. The faculty member returning from leave has the responsibility of reporting on the work accomplished during their leave and the final financial support arrangements. In addition, any publications resulting from the leave should be reported by the individual to the chair and the dean.
7. With rare exceptions, University funds may be used to support leaves with pay at no more than full salary for six months or half salary for twelve months. The University's contribution will be reduced whenever salary is provided from other sources, to limit the total salary for the year to no more than a normal year's salary.
8. If the salary of a faculty member on leave without pay from the University is paid by a third party, the cost of benefits as well as salary should be borne by the sponsoring agency. When that is not possible, the University may continue its contribution for health benefits and life insurance. The University makes a retirement contribution for only that portion of salary actually paid by the University during the leave.

Not all academic leaves involve support from the University, and faculty members applying for leave are expected to seek outside support as necessary. Scholarly societies, government agencies, and private foundations are also sources of funds for leaves. In some instances, leaves may be granted for service at another institution or with a government agency. Leaves, even those without pay from the University, are normally granted for a maximum of one year. In rare cases, a second consecutive year of leave without pay may be granted. No faculty position can be guaranteed if a person chooses to extend a leave beyond two years.

Replacements are not hired for all persons on leave. The courses normally offered by the faculty member on leave may not be offered while they are away, or the course may be taught by another faculty member, either as an extra load or as a substitute for a course with smaller enrollment.

5. Junior Faculty Leaves

[updated January 1, 2025]

Please see the SMD Regulations of the Faculty for rules around junior leaves in that school.

Untenured faculty members are eligible to apply through their department chairs or comparable officer for Junior Leaves. The principles outlined above are applicable. Awards are made at the discretion of the dean or director, subject to approval by the provost.

Leaves may be granted either between the first and second appointments as assistant professor or between the second appointment as assistant professor and promotion to untenured associate professor. In all cases,

the leaves provide salary support. If outside funding is available, it should be used. A Junior Leave, alone or in combination with another academic leave, does not delay the time for a promotion and tenure decision. As with all academic leaves, Junior Leaves are available for tenure-eligible faculty only.

6. *Personal Leaves*

[updated May 2014]

Faculty also may be granted personal leaves for such matters as family illness or other urgent matters that would preclude the performance of the person's responsibilities at the University. The procedure for recommending personal leaves is the same as for academic leaves. In determining whether or not leaves should be granted, consideration will be given to the needs of the department or school as well as to the reason for requesting the leave. Personal leaves may fall within the terms of the Family and Medical Leave Act of 1993. See <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies> for the University's policies regarding absences with and without pay.

If requested by the faculty member, a personal leave that lasts a semester or more stops the tenure and promotion clock for a faculty member without tenure. This request must be made within one year of the time of the leave, or sooner if a tenure decision would normally be made within that period.

For leaves relating to childbirth, adoption and childcare, see "[Parental Leave](#)."

7. *Part-Time Leaves*

[updated January 1, 2025]

Individual schools may decide to grant part-time leaves to individual faculty members. Part-time leaves may extend for longer periods of time than traditional leaves only in unusual circumstances. In all part-time leaves, care must be taken to avoid conflicts of interest (as described in "[Conflict of Interest](#).") For faculty on leave at less than full salary, the University's contribution toward retirement will be based only on that portion of salary paid by the University.

As with any paid leave, the dean will approve only those part-time leaves that will benefit the individual, the department, the school, and the University. Further, the faculty member must indicate in writing the duration of the leave and the date of return to full-time employment. Any patentable intellectual property created during the part-time leave is subject to the same terms of intellectual property ownership that apply to full-time faculty members, and to those on academic leave, unless some alternative agreement is reached in advance with the dean and documented in writing.

For non-tenured faculty on personal (not academic) part-time leave, an adjustment will be made to the promotion and tenure clock in an amount that is proportional to the reduction in effort. For example, a one-year half-time personal leave increases the time remaining on the promotion and tenure clock by one-half year. The effect on the tenure clock must be specified in a written leave agreement.

8. *Bridging Fellowships*

[updated July 1999]

Bridging Fellowships are arrangements whereby a faculty member spends a period, usually a semester, in a department other than their own. The other department is often in another school, but is always in the University of Rochester. The distinctive feature of Bridging Fellowships is that they are for study in an area that is peripheral to the fellow's central professional concern, and they permit the acquisition of

knowledge and methods in a different field. These fellowships are thus distinct from academic leaves, and holding a Bridging Fellowship does not affect subsequent consideration for such leaves.

The dean's or director's office of each school generally solicits applications once a year. Completed applications require the approval of the applicant's chair before being submitted to the dean for final approval. For an untenured faculty member, a Bridging Fellowship does not delay a tenure decision even when combined with a one-semester leave.

F. Policies on External Activities Relating to Research and Scholarship (Consulting and Conflict of Interest) and Other Conflicts of Interest

[updated May 2016]

Consulting and other external activities by the University faculty help fulfill the University's obligation to serve the greater community and help to keep the members of the faculty in touch with practical applications of their scholarly work. Relationships developed through those activities are encouraged. At times, however, it can become difficult to separate an individual's responsibilities to the University from those pursued for personal financial gain. As a result, situations of real or perceived conflict of interest or commitment can develop. It is crucial that faculty members avoid situations that convert the University's resources to private gain.

The rules concerning conflict of interest rely on disclosure and subsequent resolution of real or perceived conflicts. In all cases in the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the School of Nursing, please read "senior vice president for health sciences" where "dean" appears. Specific conflict situations that may arise related to research and scholarly activity are discussed in more detail below.

Consulting

When faculty enter into consulting agreements, their activities are considered to be a private relationship between the faculty member and the outside entity. The nature and terms of the arrangement are made directly between the faculty member and the organization concerned. Because the individual's primary responsibility is to the University, however, the University places certain limitations on consulting activities.

Because individual school practices may be more restrictive, individuals are urged to consult with their department head, director, or dean for policies specific to their area. In addition, faculty of the School of Medicine and Dentistry are subject to the policies of the current Medical School Faculty Compensation Plan and should consult those requirements in addition to this policy.

Limitations on Consulting

Regardless of whether the consulting opportunity is with the public or private sector, the basic tenet of the University's policy on consulting is that consulting must enhance, not reduce, the individual's service to the University. **External consulting activity by the faculty member should not exceed one day per week on average during the faculty member's primary annual appointment period.**

Faculty may not use the University's scientific and office equipment, supplies, or space for their consulting activities; the sole exception to this prohibition is incidental use of office space or equipment, so long as it does not constitute significant use of University resources.

The University encourages faculty to participate and provide consultant services to not-for-profit organizations. However, the rules for involvement with these organizations are the same as those for for-profit organizations. An individual's contribution of time should generally not come at the expense of the University unless the person is participating as an official representative of the University.

When presented with the opportunity to consult, a faculty member should discuss with their department chair the effect of the opportunity on their teaching, research, and administrative responsibilities. The faculty member should apprise the chair of the expected effects on their teaching, research, patient care (if applicable), and administrative schedule; availability for students and departmental activities; and the expected gains to the department and to the individual. Chairs may contact their dean if they are uncertain about comparable treatment of faculty in different departments.

The individual should report their consulting activities, including the number of days (away from the University) during academic semesters, as part of the annual report of activities to their chair.

A faculty member who wants to consult in conjunction with a University-supported leave must explain to their department chair, in writing, the non-financial details of the proposed activity and how those activities will enhance their University role and University-supported leave. Any consulting activity undertaken shall not exceed the time limitations set forth above, except as agreed to by the department chair and Provost in connection with an academic leave partially supported by an external source. (Please see the discussion of "[Part-Time Leaves](#).”)

Activities of a faculty member in a business organization in which the person holds substantial equity holdings or line management responsibilities that are not directly reimbursed are also subject to the time limitations set forth above.

Further, faculty are prohibited from entering into consulting arrangements or other agreements with outside entities that are inconsistent with the University Policy on Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer. For example, faculty may not assign ownership of inventions created with significant use of University resources to third parties. Agreements that contain provisions pertaining to ownership of intellectual property, confidentiality, publication rights, that prohibit or restrict engaging in competing or related activities, and/or that require indemnification by or other imposition of liability on the faculty member are of particular concern.

The Office of Counsel is available to review agreements with outside entities for faculty, and to advise them about any changes that would be necessary to ensure the agreements are not inconsistent with University policies; faculty are strongly encouraged to submit proposed agreements with outside entities to the Office of Counsel for such review. In those limited situations where a company imposes strict confidentiality requirements on the terms of the agreement, faculty are encouraged to consult the Office of Counsel to determine whether potentially inconsistent portions can be shared without compromising such confidentiality.

Faculty Conflicts of Interest

The Faculty Policy on Conflict of Commitment and Interest in Research and related policies require the University to avoid or manage situations where the financial relationship of a faculty or staff member with an external organization may call into question the credibility and objectivity of research and findings of the affected individual. The Policy also restricts the use of University resources in personal consulting situations. While the University recognizes the importance of collaborative efforts with industry, financial interests can result in situations where an independent observer might reasonably question whether the individual's professional objectivity is affected by considerations of individual financial gain. Implementation of these policies also fulfills federal grant requirements for reporting and management of financial interests related to research.

Link to Faculty Policy on Conflict of Commitment and Interest:

https://www.rochester.edu/orpa/_assets/pdf/compl_COIPolicyFaculty.pdf

Link to URMC Guidelines for Managing Faculty Financial COIs in Clinical Trials:

https://www.rochester.edu/orpa/_assets/pdf/compl_FinCOIClinTrials.pdf

Link to URMC Reporting of Outside Financial Interests

<https://coi.urmc-sh.rochester.edu/public/home.aspx>

Link to AS&E Reporting of Outside Financial Interests

<https://www.rochester.edu/asei/>

Link to ESM Reporting of Outside Financial Interests

<https://www.rochester.edu/esmi/>

Link to Reporting Form for COIs Related to Research Activities (non-URMC, AS&E, ESM):

https://www.rochester.edu/orpa/_assets/pdf/compl_COI_Rptg_Form.pdf

The Guidelines on Research Integrity and Conflict of Interest: Graduate Students and Postdoctoral Fellows summarizes policies concerning relationships of graduate students and post-doctoral fellows with industry and other entities external to the University, to assure the primary commitment of the graduate students and fellows to their educational priorities and protect the interests of the University. It covers conflicts of interests, conflicts of commitment, ownership of intellectual property, use of externally-owned data and data sets, openness and publication of student research, and student rights to be free from conflicts of interest involving faculty advisors, program directors or thesis committee members.

Link to Guidelines on Research Integrity and Conflict of Interest: Graduate Students and Postdoctoral Fellows:

http://www.rochester.edu/orpa/_assets/pdf/compl_COI_Guidelines_GradStudentsPostdocs.pdf

The URMC Compliance Program Policy Manual, which also applies to the health care affiliates, sets forth the code of conduct and ethical behavior expected from all employees of URMC and its health care affiliates, to assist in assuring that all services are provided in compliance with all applicable state and federal laws, and consistent with the highest standards of business and professional ethics. To this end, personnel should avoid all potential conflicts of interest to ensure that they act with total objectivity in carrying out their duties for the institution for which they work.

Link to URM C Compliance Program Policy Manual re: Conflicts of Interest:
<https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/MediaLibraries/URMCMedia/compliance-office/plans-policies/compliance-program/documents/mcPolicyManual.pdf>

The URM C Policy and Guidelines on Interactions between URM C and the Pharmaceutical, Biotech, Medical Device, and Hospital Equipment and Supplies Industries requires that all URM C faculty, staff, post-graduate trainees, and students consciously and actively divorce clinical care decisions from any perceived or actual benefits expected from any company. It is unacceptable for patient care decisions to be influenced by the possibility of personal financial gain. Any conflicts that do arise must be addressed appropriately. This policy also applies to the University's health care affiliates.

<http://intranet.urmc-sh.rochester.edu/policy/industryinteractions/>

The University-wide Conflict of Interest Committee advises the deans and Provost on Conflict of Interest matters. The Provost serves as convener and chair of the Committee, which consists of, among others:

- at least five full-time faculty members;
- the deans of research of the School of Medicine & Dentistry and Arts, Sciences, & Engineering;
- the Director of the Office of Research and Project Administration (ORPA);
- the Director of University Ventures;
- the Director of the Office of Human Subjects Protection;
- the Chair of the URM C Conflict of Interest Advisory Group;
- attorneys from the Office of University Counsel and General Counsel (Medical Center).

This is a high profile committee, asked to deliberate policies, practices, and conflict management plans that promote the University's professionalism and reputation while supporting the interests of faculty and their mission.

Confidentiality

To the extent permitted by law, all disclosure forms, conflict management plans, and related information will be confidential. However, such information will be made available to an agency funding research of the faculty member upon written request if permitted or otherwise required by law.

Other Conflicts of Interest

The University's Code of Conduct for Business Activities sets standards of ethical conduct expected of all faculty and staff members. Included within the Code of Conduct are guidelines and procedures for ensuring that faculty and staff members are not involved in University transactions if the individual or their immediate family member is an officer, director, employee, or owner of the organization (non-profit or for-profit) with which the University will do business or could personally profit from the transaction, or where the other entity is in competition with the University and the University position may give the individual access to proprietary information that could benefit the other organization. The Code of Conduct also prohibits use of University resources for other than University activities and businesses, except in a purely incidental way. <http://www.rochester.edu/working/codeofconduct/>

In addition, University Personnel [Policy 113](#) discusses general conflict situations applicable to University faculty and staff. Policies of the University relating to purchasing of goods and services and helpful hints and guidelines can be found on the website of the University Purchasing Services Office:

<https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/purchasing/index.cfm>.

The Strong Memorial Hospital Code of Business Ethics requires that faculty members disclose any ownership, employment, equity interest, stock options, or consulting relationships that they or members of their immediate families have with companies that are involved with products they use for patient care, research, or publication. Further, patients have the right to full disclosure about the existence of business relationships that may influence the patient's care and treatment plan. All clinical decisions should be made based on the patient's needs and not on financial interests of the hospital, its practitioners, or its staff. <http://intranet.urmc-sh.rochester.edu/policy/smholicies/SECTION01/1-7.PDF>

G. Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer

[updated May 2014]

The University seeks to encourage intellectual creativity and invention and to facilitate the transfer of the useful products of those efforts to their industrial and commercial applications. The University's URVentures Office (URV) is charged with administering agreements to achieve these goals. All University employees should be in touch with URV about inventions, discoveries, creations, or authored works that may be protected legally. URV will assist inventors in assessing the commercialization of their products. Ownership of a discovery will vest in the University if it results from the significant use of University resources or arises from an externally sponsored research project. See the University's Policy on Intellectual Property and Technology Transfer at <http://www.rochester.edu/ventures/for-ur-innovators/for-inventors-university-policy-on-intellectual-property-and-technology-transfer>.

H. Policy on Misconduct in Scholarship and Research

[updated July 1999]

The academic system demands that those engaged in research, whether faculty, staff, or student, be dedicated to the highest ethical standards. Misconduct in scholarship and research by any member of the University community threatens the University as well as the individual.

This policy outlines the steps to be taken in response to an allegation of misconduct in scholarship and research. It describes a process for an objective examination of the facts, protection of individual rights, and integration with other relevant review procedures, all under the general supervision of the provost as the senior academic administrator.

The procedures outlined are guidelines only and may be adjusted as needed to accommodate special circumstances (e.g., allegations that originate outside of the University) and to comply with the reporting and other requirements of external sponsors, particularly as they relate to the timing of reporting possible misconduct. When externally sponsored research is involved, the director of the Office of Research and Project Administration (ORPA) shall be consulted regarding compliance with the requirements of the external sponsor(s).

For the purposes of this policy, "misconduct" is defined as a known, intentional misrepresentation of data, of research procedures, or of data analysis; and plagiarism and other serious improprieties in proposing, conducting, or reporting the results of research. Federal regulations have also included within the definition of misconduct material failure to comply with federal requirements for protection of researchers, human subjects, or the public; failure to ensure the welfare of laboratory animals; or failure

to meet other material legal requirements governing research. All of the above definitions are covered by this policy.

1. Initial Reporting and Inquiry

1. Allegations of misconduct should be made in writing to the immediate supervisor of the person whose actions are questioned. These allegations shall then be provided to the department chair or other appropriate academic administrator (such as the director of the laboratory or project director), and that person shall notify the appropriate dean.
2. The dean, in turn, will inform the provost (and senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs for cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry or the School of Nursing), who will determine whether the allegations merit further scrutiny. If so, the provost will request that the dean complete an initial inquiry within 60 days, unless the provost grants an extension on evidence that circumstances warrant a longer period. The dean may designate an individual or an ad hoc committee to conduct the inquiry.
3. During this period, the identities of all parties involved will be kept confidential to the maximum extent consistent with an effective inquiry. The person whose conduct is being questioned will be given the opportunity to comment on all of the allegations that have been made. The dean will determine from the initial inquiry whether the allegations warrant a formal investigation.

2. Investigation

1. If the dean, with the concurrence of the provost (and senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs in cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry and School of Nursing), determines that a formal investigation is warranted, it will begin within 30 days after the initial inquiry is concluded. The dean will notify the person being investigated and the director of ORPA that a formal investigation is being initiated. If the research is externally supported, the director of ORPA will inform the sponsoring agency about the investigation and the review process to be applied. This is done to assure the sponsor that an objective investigation is being conducted by appropriate members of the University community.
2. The dean will appoint a fact-finding committee, of not less than three members of the faculty, who are unaffected by the inquiry, including one member of the Research Policy Committee. The committee will be given a specific charge, access to relevant documents, a right to interview principals, and a target date by which to deliver a report to the dean. Generally, an investigation should be completed within 120 days after its initiation.
3. The person(s) whose conduct is being investigated will be given notice in writing of all allegations and a meaningful opportunity to respond to them in writing and in person before the committee. This shall include access to the relevant documents (and other evidence) and a reasonable period of time to prepare an appropriate response. An individual being investigated may appear before the committee with the assistance of a representative from the University community.
4. Upon conclusion of its investigation, the committee will file a report with the dean stating whether or not it has found, based on clear preponderance of the evidence, that misconduct was committed

and the basis for this finding. The dean, in consultation with the provost, will determine further action to be taken.

3. Further Action

1. A copy of the committee's report and supporting documents will be provided to the individuals whose conduct was examined.
2. If an external sponsor has been notified that an investigation was pending, the director of ORPA will inform the sponsor of the results, describing the policies and procedures under which the investigation was conducted, and include a summary of the views of the individuals, if any, found to have engaged in misconduct.
3. If the committee finds that there was misconduct, the dean will:
 - a. Direct the department chair or laboratory director to notify the editors of publications to which abstracts and papers relevant to the misconduct have been submitted and request that the work be withdrawn prior to publication, or, if the work has already been published, that an appropriate retraction or correction be published. The persons engaged in the misconduct will generally be responsible for preparing and presenting the appropriate retractions or corrections.
 - b. After consultation with the provost (and senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs in cases involving the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the School of Nursing), recommend appropriate disciplinary action, which may include termination. If termination is recommended, established procedures (e.g., tenure revocation procedures described above for tenured faculty, privileges revocation procedures in the bylaws of the Medical Staff for medical staff) will be followed.
4. If the process described in this policy produces no finding of research misconduct, the parties who conducted the initial inquiry or formal investigation will undertake reasonable efforts to restore the academic reputation of the individuals whose conduct was questioned. Reasonable efforts will also be taken to protect the standing of the individuals who raised the questions regarding possible misconduct, unless the inquiry or investigation reveals that those individuals acted in bad faith, in which case appropriate disciplinary actions may be instituted against them.
5. Complete records of all relevant documentation on cases treated under the provisions of this policy shall be preserved in the office of the provost.

I. Confidentiality of Faculty Records

[updated July 1999]

"Personnel records" are defined as the files relating to an individual faculty member that may be maintained in the department, the school, and by the central administration.

Faculty members may review their own personnel records in the presence of an appropriate officer, i.e., the chair in the case of departmental files, the dean in the case of school files, and the provost in the case of the central files. Such a review must be requested in writing, along with a statement about the reason for the request; the written statement will become a part of the file.

Material that has been obtained with the understanding that it is confidential will be removed from the file for the purpose of the inspection. When a University official receives a request for review of material, they should examine the file carefully to determine the material that is confidential according to this criterion. When confidential material is removed, an index should be placed in the file that will specify its

character, e.g., "Five letters of recommendation from outside reviewers, six letters of recommendation from tenured colleagues, and an evaluation by the dean."

Review of the personnel records may be extended to former faculty members, providing the request is made within one year of the effective date of termination. The review is subject to the same provisions affecting current faculty members.

For information sought by those outside the University, the University considers personal information provided by the individual faculty member to have been entrusted on a confidential basis, and it is respected as such. Normally, the University's telephone directory provides home addresses and telephone numbers unless requested otherwise by the individual. The following constitute official information and will be made available to any inquirer: an individual's name, department, title, office location, telephone extension, and dates of appointment to, and (if appropriate) retirement or resignation from, the University. The same is true of awards, honors, and other distinctions that have been publicly announced. On rare occasions, inquiries for additional information about areas of special knowledge and particular publications are requested confidentially (for instance, by those who are considering nominating the individual for national awards). Appropriate information may be released in such unusual circumstances, but only by the dean of the individual's school, the senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs (School of Medicine and Dentistry and School of Nursing cases only), the provost, or the president, who will take responsibility for confirming the credentials of the inquirer.

The Office of Public Relations solicits whatever information the faculty elects to make available to general inquiry. Such information, which may go beyond that referred to in the preceding, is available to appropriate inquirers in addition to the items described above.

Within the University, the personnel files of a faculty member may be inspected by their chair and dean and by the president, provost, and senior vice president and vice provost for health affairs or their immediate designates. Such inspections will be solely for the purpose of determining appropriate administrative actions, such as salary increases and promotions. In addition, selected material may be inspected by appropriately designated groups, e.g., the tenured faculty of the department, and an ad hoc committee may examine letters of recommendation and other material germane to their evaluation of a candidate for promotion to tenure.

The provost's office attempts to keep, at a minimum, reasonably updated *curricula vitae* for all faculty. The policy is for the provost's office to request updated *vitae* every three years, and faculty are urged to comply. Voluntary annual updates are appreciated.

J. Research

[updated July 1999]

The University has defined the policies, governing all sponsored research and training projects, that are to be reflected in all proposals for grants and contracts whether they are sponsored by government agencies, foundations, or industry. These policies cover such matters as the use of animals and human subjects, control of equipment, disposal of hazardous wastes, control of funds, intellectual property rights, proposal review and endorsement procedures, accounting procedures, publication of results, technology transfer, and facilities and administrative resources allocated to research programs. Details on these policies and procedures can be found in the *Manual for Research and Sponsored Activities*. The Office for Research and Project Administration (ORPA) is charged with the oversight, coordination, and interpretation of these

policies and procedures, and is the office that assists faculty in obtaining and administering sponsored research and training funds. ORPA is designated the authorized institutional office for reviewing, approving, and executing all proposals and agreements for extramurally- supported activities by governmental, foundation, and commercial sponsors. Additional information about research administration and the services offered by ORPA may be found at their web site at <http://www.rochester.edu/orpa/>. Fiscal records, ledgers, and expenditure reports are processed and maintained by the Sponsored Programs Accounting office of the University's Finance Office. Sponsored Programs Accounting provides each principal investigator or program director with a monthly ledger of the financial status of their sponsored program.

K. Retirement

[updated July 1999]

For faculty appointed prior to 1/1/96, retirement may occur as early as age 55 for those with 10 or more years of service. The 10-year service requirement may be met by cumulative employment at the University or another higher educational institution.

For faculty appointed 1/1/96 and thereafter, retirement may occur as early as age 60 for those with 10 or more years of service. The ten-year service requirement may be met by cumulative employment at the University or another higher educational institution. (For faculty appointed 1/1/96 and thereafter, the 10-year service requirement must include continuous employment at the University for the immediate five years prior to retirement.)

When faculty members retire, their former department or school at the University will often try to accommodate, as far as resources will permit, their needs as retirees. With the department's support, every effort will be made to accommodate requests from retired faculty members for continued office or laboratory space.

In addition, retired faculty are eligible for a University identification card, which is needed for library privileges, banking services, and discounted parking privileges. The services of the University Health Services are available on a fee-for-service basis. American Express Corporate Cards, available to current employees only, must be surrendered at retirement. Faculty contemplating retirement should contact the Benefits Office of Human Resources regarding their eligibility for continuation of benefits during retirement.

L. Early Retirement and the Faculty Senior Associates Program

[updated May 2014]

The Faculty Senior Associates Program enables an individual to leave the full-time faculty in advance of the normal retirement age. The program is based on the termination of the tenure contract rather than on retirement itself; therefore, the program is available only to full-time, tenured faculty members. A description of the program may be obtained from the office of the senior vice president for financial affairs and planning and is available on the Internet by linking to: <http://www.rochester.edu/adminfinance> and clicking on the Faculty Senior Associates Program heading.

1. Eligibility

Full-time, tenured faculty members with at least ten years of service and who have attained age 62 are eligible to apply for participation in the program. Participation must be approved by the provost upon the recommendation of the dean or director of the individual's college or school.

2. Initial Payment

The initial payment under the Faculty Senior Associates Program is dependent on several factors: final salary immediately before entering the program, median salary of the relevant faculty cohort group, age, length of service, and primary Social Security benefit. The initial payment is calculated using the formula provided in the document describing the program.

3. Annual Changes in Payment

Beyond the initial year, the Faculty Senior Associates payment is adjusted each fiscal year by the increase, if any, in the median salary of continuing faculty in the rank of the participant's college or school and discipline group where applicable. The adjustment is made to the total payment, and any deductions for Social Security are subtracted from the adjusted total payment.

4. Social Security Benefit

The Faculty Senior Associates payment is reduced at age 65 by the amount of the full primary Social Security benefit the participant is entitled to receive at age 65 and is not adjusted for subsequent cost-of-living increases instituted by the Social Security Administration. The reduction begins the first day of the month following the month in which the individual turns 65.

If an individual draws Social Security early (before age 65), the full primary Social Security benefit that would have been received at age 65 is still the amount used in the calculation of the reduction. Similarly, if an individual earns more than the maximum permitted by Social Security without adjustment, the unadjusted primary Social Security benefit will be used in the calculation of the reduction.

5. Payment Process and Taxability of Payment

Checks are processed through the University payroll system and may be automatically deposited in the same manner as University payroll checks. Payments received under the Faculty Senior Associates Program are subject to federal and state withholding requirements. FICA tax is applicable due to the amendment in 1984 of the Social Security Act, and is deducted from the payment.

6. Termination

Payments cease at the end of the month in which the participant reaches age 70. If a participant dies before the age of 70, the payments cease upon death.

7. Benefits

Benefits coverage for participants between the age of 62 and 70 is the same as for active, full-time faculty members except for income maintenance programs such as long-term disability, sick pay benefits, and retirement contributions, which do not pertain. Questions about benefits coverage should be directed to the human resources benefits office. As with the benefits provided to all active faculty, staff, and retirees,

benefits provided to participants are subject to the right of the University to amend or terminate its benefits plan.

8. Changes to Program

It is the University's policy not to discontinue offering the program to new entrants or make substantial changes in the terms offered to new entrants without written notification to full-time faculty at least one year in advance of the effective date of the change. Any person already participating in the program or who enrolls in it prior to the effective date of such a change would continue under the terms of the existing program.

V. Student-Related Policies

A. Academic Honesty

[updated January 1, 2025]

As members of an academic community, students and faculty assume certain responsibilities. One of those responsibilities is to engage in honest communication. Academic dishonesty is a serious violation of the trust upon which an academic community depends.

Each school maintains its own policies and procedures regarding violations of Academic Honesty, including prohibitions against plagiarism. The procedures for handling suspected infractions are detailed in publications of the following schools:

- School of Arts & Sciences and Hajim School of Engineering & Applied Sciences (undergraduate students): <http://www.rochester.edu/college/CCAS/AdviserHandbook/AcadHonesty.html>
- School of Arts & Sciences and Hajim School of Engineering & Applied Sciences (graduate students): https://www.rochester.edu/GradBulletin/PDFbulletin/Grad_Bulletin14-16.pdf
- Eastman School of Music:
<http://www.esm.rochester.edu/registrar/policy/03-00/>
- School of Medicine and Dentistry:
<https://www.urmc.rochester.edu/MediaLibraries/URMCMedia/education/md/documents/student-handbook.pdf#page=99&zoom=auto,34,624>
- School of Nursing: <http://www.son.rochester.edu/student-resources/academic-honesty.html>
- Simon Graduate School of Business:
<http://www.simon.rochester.edu/registrar/registrar/download.aspx?id=11286>
- Warner Graduate School of Education:
<https://www.warner.rochester.edu/students/matriculated/policies>

B. Confidentiality of Student Files

[updated May 2014]

The University of Rochester complies fully with the provisions of the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA). Under FERPA, students have, with certain limited exceptions, the right to inspect and review their educational records and to request the amendment of their records to ensure that they are not inaccurate, misleading, or otherwise in violation of the student's privacy or other rights.

FERPA further requires, again with certain limited exceptions, that the student's consent must be obtained before disclosing any personally identifiable information in the student's education records. One such exception is disclosing to parents of dependent students. Another exception is disclosure to school officials with legitimate educational interests, on a "need-to-know" basis, as determined by the administrator responsible for the file. Additional information concerning the confidentiality of student records may be found in the FERPA notification published in the student bulletins and course schedules.

The University's policy regarding student records can be found at:

<http://www.rochester.edu/registrar/policies.html#ferpa>

VI. Faculty Benefits

[updated January 1, 2025]

The University of Rochester's benefits program comprises several plans that work together to provide faculty members and their families financial protection today and the opportunity to plan for their financial security in the future.

Some of the benefits for which faculty may be eligible are briefly described in this section. Please refer to the [Human Resources website](#) for a complete statement of benefits and policies. These benefits and policies may be amended at any time and from time to time without prior notice.

The following benefits relate to full-time faculty regardless of tenure eligibility at the assistant professor level and above. For other individuals, the Benefits Division of the Office of Human Resources should be consulted.

A. Health Care Plans

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/benefits/health>

B. Dental Assistance Plan

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/benefits/dental>

C. Short-Term Disability

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/leave>

The University's policies on short-term disability apply to all employees. Because the purpose of the temporary disability benefits plan is to maintain University salary, benefits are paid from it only for persons drawing a University salary. Many faculty members are on an academic year schedule and are paid for the duties performed during the nine-month period beginning either September 1 or September 15. Payment for academic year duties is spread over a 12-month period beginning with the July 1 preceding the academic year and ending with the June 30 following the academic year. Because faculty members on academic year schedules are not required to perform any duties in July and August preceding the academic year, or in June following the end of the academic year, inability to perform duties because of medical disability in those summer months results in no loss of income as long as the faculty member returns to performance of their duties beginning September 1. Consequently, no temporary disability benefits are paid for such periods of disability.

Short-term disability leave is available to mothers expecting to give birth. This leave will run for the length of time the physician certifies for disability. Typically, birth mothers receive a leave of six weeks for a natural birth and eight weeks for a caesarian section. This leave runs concurrently with any leave benefits described in section J and K.

D. Long-Term Disability for Full-Time Faculty

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/leave>

E. Workers' Compensation

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/271.pdf>

F. Drugs and Alcohol

[updated May 2014]

The University maintains a policy in compliance with the Drug-Free Workplace Act that can be found at: <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/171.pdf>. The University also complies with the Drug Free Schools and Communities Act.

G. Smoking

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/170.pdf>

H. Employee Assistance Program

[updated May 2014]

The mission of Strong EAP is to enhance employees' job performance and satisfaction by providing professional, confidential, work-site based guidance at no cost to employees and their families when personal or work related problems have become difficult to manage. Strong EAP provides confidential and immediate help with marital and relationship issues; family problems, drug and alcohol addictions; stress; and financial concerns; and many other issues that can impact you or your family member's ability to cope effectively at home or at work. For more information, see: <http://www.urmc.rochester.edu/eap>

I. Family Care

[updated May 2014]

Childcare services are available to faculty at a negotiated rate at a nearby facility. In addition, the University's Family Care Program offers referrals for childcare in day-care centers, family day-care homes, and UR students for occasional babysitting. See <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/familycare/>. The University does not recommend or guarantee the services of any provider.

J. Family Medical Leave for Faculty

[updated May 2014]: <http://www.rochester.edu/leave>

Under the Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA), the University will grant eligible employees up to 12 weeks of family and/or medical leave without pay during a qualifying 12-month period for one or more of the following reasons:

- a. The birth or care of a newborn child.
- b. Placement of a child for adoption or foster care and care for the newly placed child.
- c. To care for a spouse, domestic partner, child, or parent with a serious health condition.
- d. The employee's own "serious health condition."

Additional information on Family Medical Leave, including eligibility requirements, can be found at the link above.

K. Faculty Parental Leave Policy

[updated January 1, 2025]

In addition to the benefits available to faculty in Sections C and J, the University of Rochester offers a faculty parental leave benefit to eligible primary caregivers. In order to assist and support new parent relationships and to assist faculty members with balancing work and family matters, the University provides a period of paid time off for activities related to the care, bonding, and well-being of the faculty member's newborn or adopted child aged 18 or younger.

Benefit

Immediately following the birth or adoption of a child aged 18 or younger, eligible members of the faculty will be provided up to two consecutive weeks of paid parental leave if serving as the primary caregiver. In the case of adoption, the eligible faculty member may instead elect to take part or all of the two week paid parental leave for bonding time in advance of bringing the child home when a country or state requires it for the adoption.

This paid leave will run concurrently with other leave for which members of the faculty may be eligible, including paid short-term disability leave following the birth of a child and unpaid Family Medical Leave, and does not result in double payment for the same time period.

Eligibility

All full-time and part-time benefits-eligible faculty members can request paid leave as long as the faculty member is the primary caregiver for the child. If both parents work for the University, only one parent can be designated as a primary caregiver.

Definitions

“Parent” means the primary caregiver who also is a biological parent, same sex spousal equivalent parent, or an adoptive or a foster parent.

“Primary caregiver” is someone who has primary responsibility for the care of a child immediately following the birth or adoption or coming of the child into the custody, care and control of the primary caregiver for the first time.

“Concurrently” means that this benefit runs at the same time as other types of leave for which a faculty member may be eligible (i.e., is not “in addition to” those leaves).

Example 1: An eligible faculty member who is on short-term disability for at least two weeks following the birth of her child receives paid disability leave under short term disability (<http://www.rochester.edu/leave>) and New York State disability law for the period of time the physician certifies for disability. This paid parental leave will run concurrently with two weeks of paid short-term disability (i.e., the faculty member will be paid once for the two week period, not twice).

Example 2: An eligible full-time faculty member who is adopting and primary caregiver also meets the criteria for approval for unpaid Family Medical Leave of up to 12 weeks following the birth or adoption of a child. Although FML is unpaid, under this policy the faculty member will receive two weeks of those 12 weeks with pay.

Modified Duties for New Parents

New parent faculty members eligible for the Parental Leave benefit described above may be eligible for a modification of their regularly assigned duties during the “semester of birth or adoption.”

Any modification of duties will depend upon the ability of the department and school to accommodate this arrangement without serious effects on the academic or clinical program. The modification will not result in an adjustment of salary and benefits, with the possible exception of those faculty at the Medical Center whose salary is dependent on clinical or other services rendered. These faculty may experience a temporary reduction in salary commensurate with their reduced effort.

A faculty member intending to request a modification of their duties should discuss those plans with the department chair and dean as far in advance of their intended return to work as possible. Any modification of duties must accommodate the reasonable needs of the department and school and be approved well in advance of the start of the semester by the department chair and dean.

For Medical Center faculty not on traditional academic semesters, the period of modified duties should roughly mirror the period of time corresponding to a traditional academic semester (approximately 16 weeks) so that Medical Center faculty members will have the same period of modified assignment as other faculty.

Impact of Modified Duties on Tenure Review

For full time tenure-eligible faculty, see IV.A.3. Postponement of Promotion or Tenure Review for provisions regarding postponement of promotion or tenure review for the addition of a new child.

SMD faculty with full-time academic appointments who add a new child (or children) to the family may be entitled to an extension of their current appointment term; please see the SMD Regulations of the Faculty for more specifics about eligibility and application of this policy.

Part-Time Appointments in the Year Following Birth or Adoption

New parent faculty members may request an assignment of duties that would enable them to work part-time at a commensurate reduced salary for a period of up to one year (i.e. 365 day period of time) following the birth or adoption of a child, where the child is living in the same household as the faculty member. Proposals to work part-time must be approved by the department chair and dean. Any faculty member intending to request a part-time appointment should make such request to the department chair and dean as far in advance as possible.

Faculty members with general questions on the Faculty Parental Leave Policy should contact the Provost’s Office. Questions on leaves of absence, including short term disability, long term disability or the Family Medical Leave Act should be directed to the Human Resources Office of Leave Administration.

L. Unpaid Personal Leaves of Absence

[added May 2014]

The University of Rochester provides two types of Leaves of Absence for faculty members. A Short-Term Leave of Absence may be granted by departments for up to 30 work days’ unpaid leave (not to exceed six weeks) in a calendar year for reasons such as family illness or urgent personal business. Short-Term Leaves of Absence need only be approved by the faculty member's department head. There is no need for a form to be sent to Human Resources.

The University Leave of Absence is available to faculty members who have completed at least two years of service to the University. This leave is granted for a period of up to 12 months for personal reasons such as (1) education, dependent or elder care, or community service, (2) as a reasonable accommodation for a qualified individual with a disability who is unable to return to work at the expiration of short-term disability and who is ineligible for short-term disability, and (3) other exceptional circumstances mutually agreed between the University and the employee. University Leave of Absence is granted when it is mutually beneficial to the staff member and the department and, therefore, is not granted automatically.

More information on Short-Term and University Leaves of Absence can be found at <http://www.rochester.edu/leave> under the section “Leave of Absence.”

Requests for personal leave under this Policy should be made on the same schedule as requests for academic leaves, i.e., no later than the beginning of the semester preceding the semester in which the leave is requested. The periods are as follows:

Full year – 1 July through 30 June

Fall Term – 1 July through 15 January

Spring Term – 16 January through 30 June

M. Group Life Insurance

[updated May 2014]

See <http://www.rochester.edu/benefits/life> on Group Life Insurance coverage for employees.

N. Travel-Accident Insurance Plan

[updated May 2014]

While traveling on University business, faculty members are also covered by travel-accident insurance, with its own schedule of additional benefits. Travel-accident insurance is paid for entirely by the University; coverage begins immediately upon appointment.

See: <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/263.pdf>

O. Tuition Benefits for Full-Time Faculty

[updated May 2014]

Tuition benefits are available to faculty members and dependent children. Eligibility for tuition waivers or tuition reimbursement varies and depends on length of service, as well as other factors. See <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/benefits/tuition/> for a description of Tuition benefit policies for employees and dependent children. Contact the Benefits Office of Human Resources for additional information.

P. Retirement Program/Tax-Deferred Investment Options

[updated May 2014]

The University maintains a policy regarding Retirement Programs that can be found at:

<http://www.rochester.edu/benefits/retirement>. Social Security generally pays benefits in retirement and in the case of disability. For more information, faculty should consult: www.ssa.gov.

Q. Unemployment Insurance

[updated May 2014]

The University maintains a policy regarding Unemployment Insurance that can be found at: <http://www.rochester.edu/working/hr/policies/pdfpolicies/273.pdf>.

R. Flexible Spending Accounts

[updated May 2014]

The University maintains a policy regarding Flexible Spending Accounts that can be found at: <http://www.rochester.edu/benefits/fsa>.

S. Vacation

[updated July 1999]

Faculty members do not accrue vacation except in the School of Medicine and Dentistry and the Laboratory for Laser Energetics, where appointments are made on a 12-month basis.

VII. Cumulative Summary of Changes

January 2025

1. Section IV.C and Section IV.D: These revisions offer clarification of which faculty are entitled to employ the faculty grievance procedures, with the specification of a process for determining that eligibility. They further add contract-based faculty members to grievance committees in cases where the grievant is a contract-based faculty member.
2. Section IV.E: These revisions remove the specification that leaves are “ordinarily” taken in absentia, clarify faculty members’ discretion regarding administrative and advisory responsibilities during leave, remove language tying leaves to semesters, and refer School of Medicine and Dentistry (SMD) faculty to the SMD junior leave policies.
3. Non-substantive changes throughout the UR Faculty Handbook: These revisions changed the term “tenure-track” to “tenure-eligible” and updated similar references to tracks to be grammatically correct. Additionally, all references to Arts, Sciences & Engineering were changed to reflect the School of Arts and Sciences and the Hajim School of Engineering and Applied Sciences.

November 2022

1. Section IV.A.3: This revision added clarification to the subsection regarding Promotion to Full Professor. Additions indicate that Associate Professors should be offered regular reviews for promotion to Full Professor with written feedback, and that each school is responsible for developing policies on the nature and frequency of such evaluations.
2. Section IV.D: This revision added a review by the Provost for prima facie merit (IV.D.3), subsections IV.D.7.c.i-v regarding processes for decision-making, and a report on case outcomes by UCTP to the faculty (IV.D.13). It removed the SVP for Health Affairs as an initiator (IV.D.3 and IV.D.8). It also included non substantive changes verified by the Senate Executive Committee.

October 2021

1. Section II.F: This revision expanded voting rights to many faculty not on the tenure track. It was made to encourage deliberate consideration of faculty voting rights in shared governance processes, and in particular to encourage the presumption that all faculty should have rights to vote on items of concern to them.
2. Section IV.A.7: This policy on external appointments was revised to tighten the language around external appointments in the context of increased scrutiny by federal agencies and to address potential conflicts of interest or commitment.
3. Section IV.D: With these changes to the policy, the active involvement of legal counsel during hearings will no longer be allowed (advisors may be present, but cannot address the committee or witnesses); direct questioning of witnesses by the responding faculty member and the “academic lead” responsible for proving the case will not be allowed (the hearing committee will ask questions submitted in writing by the participants); and the president, if she secures concurrence from the University Committee on Promotion and Tenure, will be authorized to impose an interim sanction of suspension with reduced or no pay, pending completion of the hearing and the Board’s final decision. Pay is to be restored if the BOT does not sustain the sanction at the conclusion of the process. If the UCTP does not concur, the policy allows the president to suspend the respondent faculty members (if they are a threat to themselves or others at the University), but only with full

pay, which is the standard provision for universities. Also included clarifications of existing policies.

February 2021

1. Section IV.C: This revision to Faculty Grievance Procedures adds important procedural concepts, among which are how the peer grievance committee makes decisions, who decides who may be interviewed, the role of advisors to witnesses, procedures for cross-examination, how the peer committee's work is supported in its work, and timescales for the process. An explicit prohibition on retaliation against participants in the process, consistent with existing language in the Faculty Handbook supporting involvement in shared governance, is also added.
2. Section IV.A.3 With the addition of a paragraph on community engaged scholarship, Faculty work in all three areas critical for promotion (teaching, scholarship, and service) that is community centered is explicitly recognized in our promotion criteria.
3. Section IV.A.1 and IV.A.3 Clarifications regarding maximum time in rank were required due to the COVID-19 pandemic related extensions to the tenure clock.

May 2020

1. Section II.G: Examination of alleged violations of processes prescribed by the Faculty Handbook has been added as Section II.G, after Faculty Governance and before Intercessors. The section establishes a process to be followed to examine serious incidents.
2. Section IV.A.2: Revisions to the language about appointments from outside the University incorporate the option to offer tenure upon initial appointment at the rank of associate or full professor.
3. Section IV.A.3: Additions to language about promotion and tenure process reviews establish a procedure for promotion and tenure process reviews.

May 2019

1. Section IV.A.3: New language Promoting Faculty Under Investigation has been added to Section IV.A.3, after Documentation and Promotion and before Postponement of Promotion or Tenure Review.

April 2019

1. Table of Contents: Minor revision to the Table of Contents changing Entry IV.C.1 from Tenure Grievances to Tenure and Appointment Grievances.

October 2018

2. Section IV.A.3. Promotion to Tenure: The revisions to the Faculty Handbook addressing standards for Promotion to Tenure specifically acknowledge that a faculty member's activities related to community engagement are to be considered in connection with promotion and tenure decisions.
3. Section IV.B.5. Retired Faculty with Honorary Title: The revisions allow a faculty member who is retiring with an honorary title to choose the preferred honorary title. In prior versions, the only title available was emeritus professor. This is a more gender inclusive approach.

May 2018

1. Section III.C. Intimate Relationships and section III.D. Management of Academic Relationships with Immediate Family Members: The revisions: 1) clarify and add key definitions of student, relationships, and academic authority as well as where to obtain clarification on these definitions; 2) presume the existence of academic authority whenever the people involved are members of the same department or department-like unit; 3) emphasize the possibility that disciplinary action could be taken if the policy is violated; 4) elaborate on how a management plan may be created, allowing for exceptions to these prohibitions under certain conditions. A section on the management of academic relationships with immediate family members has also been added.
2. Section IV.A.3. Reconsideration / Review of Promotion Decisions and Section IV.A.3.C. Faculty Grievance Procedures: The Handbook section relating to grievances has been expanded to include decisions relating to the reappointment and promotion of any faculty member eligible to vote in Senate elections, whether tenure-track or not. Grounds for the appeal of tenure, reappointment and promotion decisions have been expanded beyond procedural irregularities to include violations of academic freedom. The section relating to general grievances has also been expanded to include violations of academic freedom. All these grievances will be investigated by a Faculty Grievance Committee consisting of five or more members appointed from a list of fifteen faculty nominated by the president and provost. An explanation of the outcome of the process will be communicated back to the complainants, the respondents, and the University Committee on Tenure and Privileges by the appropriate authority.

April 2018

1. Gender-inclusive language: Pronouns throughout the entire Faculty Handbook were amended to be more gender-inclusive.

May 2017

1. Section III.C. Intimate Relationships: The Intimate Relationships with Students Policy prohibits intimate relationships between faculty and others at the University when faculty exercise “academic authority” over those individuals. The primary changes to the existing policy clarify what constitutes “academic authority” over an individual. The policy also contains a process to approve exceptions to allow a relationship between faculty and graduate students so long as an acceptable plan is in place to manage any potential influence the faculty member might have over the student’s academic performance. Minor changes to the existing policy are proposed to add more detail to the procedures to approve an exception. Finally, related policies that apply to all University employees (Nepotism and Consensual Romantic Relationships among Employees and the University’s Discrimination and Harassment Policy) were also added as cross-references.
2. Section III.S. Faculty Role in Senior Academic Administrator Searches and Reviews for Reappointment Policy: New policy as initiated by the Faculty Senate. The language largely confirms current practice of involving faculty on search committees for a new president, provost or dean and current practice of involving faculty in the solicitation of feedback from their peers in reappointment reviews of the president, provost or a dean. Detailed procedures for search and reappointment review committees but will be maintained outside the Faculty Handbook.

May 2016

1. Section IV.F. Policies on External Activities Relating to Research and Scholarship (Consulting and Conflict of Interest) and Other Conflicts of Interest: Revision on the entire section to bring in line with existing regulations from the Office of Research and Project Administration.

For a changes to the Faculty Handbook prior to May 2016, please contact the Office of the Provost.