

SCIENTIFIC AND RESOURCE REVIEW CHECKLIST

Name of Investigator:	Date of Review:
Title of Proposal:	Click IRB#:
Scientific Reviewer:	
Section I: Scientific Merit	
Background supports the proposed study: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
The protocol provides well-framed, testable hypotheses and/or well-framed study aims: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
Study design & strategies are adequate to test the hypothesis and/or to achieve study aims: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
The analysis plan & methods are adequate to test the hypothesis and/or to achieve study aims: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
The proposed research may provide societal benefits: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
Section II: Risk Identification & Management	
Foreseeable risks to research subjects are identified and described: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
Reasonable means to mitigate risks are described: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
Data & safety monitoring procedures are appropriate to the design, specific risks & risk level of the study, and are adequate to safeguard the rights & welfare of study subjects: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
Section III: Investigator Qualifications/Resources	
Investigator and study team members credentials and training are adequate for this type of research and the study team has adequate time to conduct the study: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
Institutional resources and facilities are adequate to conduct the study: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No Comments:	
SUMMARY:	